Kruthers, Heather H (for the Public Administrator)

Atty Capata, Julian Eli (of Los Angeles, for Barbara Rivera, beneficiary – Petitioner)

(1) Petition to have the Public Administrator Cited to Appear before the Court Re: Condition of Estate and Reasons why the Estate Cannot be Distributed and Closed; and (2) Payment of Three Pecuniary Devises with Interest at Seven Percent (7%); and (3) to Surcharge Administrator for Unnecessary and Unreasonable Delays in Closing said Estate; and (4) Points and Authorities; and (5) Declaration of Barbara Rivera

DOD: 8/24/07			BARBARA RIVERA , beneficiary and named executor in Decedent's Will, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/C OMMENTS:
Cor √	nt. from 120511 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory		 Petitioner states: On 7/18/08, the Public Administrator was appointed as Administrator by <i>Ex Parte</i> Order pursuant to PrC §7660 et seq. (<i>Summary Disposition of Small Estates</i>); None of Decedent's devisees named in her Last Will were notified either before or after appointment of the Public Administrator; Decedent's estate could have been closed within 6 months of the 	CONTINUED TO 2/27/12 PER STIPULATION BETWEEN PARTIES.
√ √	Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail		 Public Administrator's appointment, or within one year as required by PrC §12200(a). This section requires that an estate be closed within one year, after issuance of Letters, in which a federal estate tax return is not required; Here, Letters did not issue because the Public Administrator was appointed pursuant to PrC §7660(a)(1); however, they would have 	Page 1B in the Public Administrator's Final Account and Petitioner's Objections thereto
	Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters		 issued on or about 7/18/08; This past August 2011 was the fourth anniversary of Decedent's death and there is no reason for this extended delay on a "small estate" probate case filed under PrC §7660 et seq.; Decedent's Last Will designates gifts to devisees (one of whom passed away on 2/15/09); 	The instant Petition was continued from 12/5/11. 1. Need Proposed Order.
	Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report		 The Public Administrator should be surcharged with the amount of money due the pecuniary devisees' as interest on their bequests, with said surcharge amount to be paid by the Administrator's personal funds and the forfeit of any commission; Per PrC §12003, beneficiaries are entitled to interest on their pecuniary bequests at 7% simple interest. Section 12003 provides in 	Note: The Fresno County Public Guardian was Decedent's Conservator prior to her death
	9202 Order Aff. Posting	x	relevant part: "If a general pecuniary deviseis not distributed within one year after thedeath, the devise bear interest thereafter." The effective rate of interest is 7% (see PrC §12001); The total amount due the named beneficiaries, with interest included	(04CEPR01188). Reviewed by: NRN
	Status Rpt UCCJEA		(interest calculated from 8/24/08 (year after date of death) – 10/31/11): O Norma Raffeedy (sister; <i>now deceased</i>): \$6,115.21 (original devise: \$5,000);	Reviewed on: 1/11/12 Updates: 1/17/12
	Citation FTB Notice		 Rose A. Harb (sister): \$6,115.21 (original devise: \$5,000) Nancy Almendras (niece): \$1,070.00 (original devise: \$1,000) 	Recommendation: File 1A - Boalbey
			SEE ATTACHED PAGE	

CONT'D:

- Furthermore, in related Fresno County Superior Court Case 04CEPR01188 (Decedent's Conservatorship Case –Public Guardian was Conservator of the Estate), the Public Guardian indicated in its final accounting and request for discharge (filed 9/23/10) that a 1999 Federal Individual Income Tax Return balance of \$3,658.00 was owed;
- However, the \$3,658.00 is in fact not owed, nor was it a lien on Decedent's funds at the time of that final accounting because:

 1) *Per Declaration of Petitioner Barbara Rivera*, attached to the instant Petition, the IRS had been deducting from Decedent's monthly Social Security payments an amount to cover Decedent's lien due on the 1999 Tax Return; and 2) because as on 4/15/09, the lien was no longer in existence pursuant to U.S. Code Title 26, 6502 and that as such, an Court may not approve such a claim barred by the statute of limitations (see PrC §9253). For these reasons, the lien amount should never have been shown as a liability on the Public Guardian's last account in the Conservatorship case;
- The Estate is now in a position to be closed, except for the payment of the interest due on the pecuniary gifts as mentioned above:
- Finally, the Public Administrator's Commission should be reduced to no dollar amount, because of the Public Administrator's delay or mismanagement of this small estate; per PrC § 12205(a), a court may reduce a personal representative's compensation by an amount the Court determines as appropriate if said court makes 3 determinations: 1) the time taken for the administration exceeds the one-year; 2) that time taken was within the control of the representative, and 3) the delay was not in the best interest of the estate or interested persons.
- Petitioner requests the Court order:
 - That the pecuniary gifts be made to the devisees;
 - That the interest (amounts identified above) be paid to devisees by the Administrator personally (including daily interest calculated after 10/31/11); and
 - o That the Public Administrator to close the Estate.

Objection by Public Administrator, filed 11/30/11, states:

- 1. The Estate could not close before now because of tax issue;
- 2. On 8/20/10, Deputy PA Noe Jimenez receive the 199 tax return for New York State Income Tax and 2004 and 2008 Fed Income Taxes from Accountant Paul Dictos; on 4/1/11, Deputy Jimenez received notice from NY State that all Ms. Boalbey's tax liens were satisfied; then on 8/15/11 received a letter from the Treasury Dept. that a 2008 return was not filed, and that the estate had a \$181.00 tax credit; finally on 10/18/11, Deputy Jimenez received \$181.00 plus \$18.25 interest from the US Treasury (one week before the PA or County Counsel know of a surcharge petition filed by Petitioner one phone call by either Petitioner or her attorney would have avoided the time and expense of this Petition);
- 3. The PA at all times worked on this case in close connection with his accountant and as a result obtained a refund and interest on federal taxes:
- 4. The PA would additionally like to make 3 specific comments or objections: 1) The PA will pay the devisees the interest that has accrued, but from the estate residue to reiterate, the PA did not mismanage or delay the administration of the estate and should therefore not be responsible for the interest payments;
- 5. Further, regarding Petitioner's assertion that the PA should have included a federal tax liability on her Final Account, Petitioner's attorney in fact filed a "No Objection to Amended Account."
 P.A. requests the Petition be denied, and that a status hearing be set 45 days from now for the PA to file his final account.

1A Thelma Boalbey (Estate) Case No. 08CEPR00697

Reply to Objection, filed 12/1/11, states:

- 1. P.A.'s Objection was not received in time pursuant to the C.C.P. (9 court days before the hearing);
- 2. Regarding the "No Objection" filed, there would be no objection in 2010 to a "liability" when the statute had run and that as of 4/16/09, the lien was "out of existence;"
- 3. Further, no creditor's claim had been filed by the State of New York; and the accountant's advice was in error as of 1/18/09, PA could have officially discontinued his involvement in the estate; and the IRS claim had expired 10 years after the tax return's filing date.

Public Administrator's Response to Reply, filed 12/2/11states: Objection was timely pursuant to Local Rule 7.4.2.

Thelma Boalbey (Estate)
Kruthers, Heather H (for the Public Administrator - Petitioner) 1B **Case No. 08CEPR00697**

Atty

Capata, Julian Eli (of Los Angeles, for Barbara Rivera, beneficiary)
Public Administrator's Final Account and Report (Prob. C. 7665) Atty

DOD: 8/24/07			PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR, Administrator, is Petitioner.		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMEN TS:	
			Account Period: 10.	<u> /26/09 – 1</u>	2/27/11	CONTINUED TO 2/27/12
Coi	Cont. from		Accounting	-	\$89,394.23	PER STIPULATION BETWEEN PARTIES.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Beginning POH		1 - 9	BETWEENTAKTIES.
V	Verified		Ending POH	-	\$57,505.80	
	Inventory		Administrator	_	\$2,206.57	
	PTC		(statutory)		+ -, - 0 0 0 0	
	Not.Cred.		A 44 a		¢2.20 <i>6.57</i>	
٧	Notice of Hrg		Attorney (statutory)	-	\$2,206.57	
٧	Aff.Mail	W	Bond fee	-	\$670.47	
	Aff.Pub.]	30 4 93 4		
	Sp.Ntc.		Petitioner requests Decedent's Will, as		ion, pursuant to	
	Pers.Serv.		Decedent's win, as	10110 113.		
	Conf. Screen				\$5,000.00 plus \$1,115,21	
	Letters				. Rafeedy passed away	
	Duties/Supp				his Estate. Petitioner will Ms. Rafeedy's share prior	
٧	Objections		to the hearing on thi			
	Video Receipt		*	,	erest (Petitioner states this ed \$5,000 in preliminary	
	CI Report		distribution)	•		
	9202		Namari Almanduasi	¢222.02	in interest (Detitioner	
٧	Order			Nancy Almendras: \$222.92 in interest (Petitioner states this distribute has already received \$1,000.00 in		
	Aff. Posting		preliminary distribution) Barbara Rivera: \$10,738.86 See attached page			Reviewed by: NRN
	Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 1/12/11
	UCCJEA					Updates: 1/17/12
	Citation					Recommendation:
<u> </u>	FTB Notice					File 1B - Boalbey

Cont'd:

Objection to Public Administrator's Final Accounting and Report, filed 1/10/12, states:

- Barbara Rivera ("Objector") objects to the Public Administrator's disbursements as stated on Schedule D ("Disbursements") on the Final Account;
- The \$1,540.46 paid for NY State income tax 1999 should be returned;
 - o Public Administrator did not disclose any amount owed to New York
 - o New York State did not file a creditor's claim in this Estate
 - o PrC 9200 should apply to New York State: "...a claim by a public entity shall be filed within the time otherwise provided in this part..." §9200(a). §9200(b) reads that "public entity" as used in this chapter has the meaning provided in §811.2 of the Government Code. Objector herein states that although New York State does not come within the §811.2 Gov't Code definition, the general wording of §9200 should have applied to New York
- Public Administrator should be surcharged the unauthorized payment of \$3,658.00 (Income tax for 1999), for \$14,819.97 paid to the US Treasury (1999 Income tax), and for \$3,500.00 paid to Paul A. Dictos for performing unnecessary work
 - Decedent owed no taxes; even if they were, per the IRS and US Code regulations, that statute of limitations had run on Decedent's 1999 federal taxes by at least 4/16/09
 - The taxes were not owed because the IRS had been deducting from Decedent's monthly Social Security payments an amount to cover Decedent's lien payment(s) due on Decedent's Federal Individual Income Tax Return
- Public Administrator should pay the estate devisees their accrued interest due to the Public Administrator's delay in closing this Estate
 - Public Administrator was appointed in July 2008
 - o Letters may have not issued since it was initially filed pursuant to §7660 (*re summary disposition of small estates*), however this past August was Decedent's fourth anniversary of the date of her death
 - o PrC §12200 provides that the personal representative shall either petition for an order for final distribution or make a status report not later than one year after the date letters issued on an estate where a federal estate tax return is not required (§12200(a)); if required, within 18 months
 - o There is no reason for the extended delay on a small estate probate matter filed pursuant to §7660
- Because of the Public Administrator's delay or mismanagement, it should receive no statutory commission
 - o Time taken for Public Administrator to close this Estate exceeds that required by §12200(a)
 - O Pursuant to §12205, the Court may reduce the personal representative compensation to a court-determined more appropriate amount, if it makes all of the following determinations: 1) time taken for administration exceeds one year 2) time taken was in personal representative's control and 3) the delay was not in the estate's or estate's interested persons' best interests.

SEE ATTACHED PAGE

1B Thelma Boalbey (Estate)

Case No. 08CEPR00697

- As it was clear no creditor's claim was to be filed with the estate, there is further no reason the administration should not have closed sooner, and the Court should determine that the length of time it has taken to close the Estate is not in the best interest of the estate or interested persons
- §12205(b) and relevant legislation provides that an order under this code section may be made to guard beneficiaries and interested persons against the payment of compensations otherwise allowable for services rendered by the personal representative

<u>Conclusion</u>: As there were no tax or other material liabilities owed by Decedent, Objection requests the Court should order a surcharge against the Public Administrator in the amount of \$28,678.34 (which includes Petitioner's requested statutory fee amount of \$2,206.57), with the break down as follows:

- 1. NY State Income Tax 1999 (8/25/10) \$1,540.46
- 6. Interest heirs of Norma Refeedy \$1,115.21
 - 7. Interest Rose Harb \$1,115.21
- 2. Income tax due 1999 1040 (8/25/10) \$3,658.00
- 8. Interest Nancy Almendras (1/23/12) \$222.92
- 3. Income tax due 1999 1040 (5/4/11) \$14,819.97
- 9. Petitioner's statutory fee request: \$2,206.57
- 4. Paul Dictos 1999 US and NY Income Tax (11/16/11) \$750.00
- 5. Paul Dictos 1999 US and NY Income Tax (9/8/10) \$3,250.00
- 6. Petitioner's statutory fee request \$2,206.57

The Cenci Family Trust (Trust)

Case No. 10CEPR00244

Erlach, Mara M. (for Terese Ann Cenci McGee, daughter – Petitioner) Atty

Sanoian, Joanne (for Richard Cenci, son – Objector) Atty

Atty

Sullivan, Robert (for Bruce Bickel)

Petition for Interpretation of Holographic Instruments [Prob. C. §17200(b)(1)]

DOD: 8/31/11	TERESE ANN CENCI MCGEE, daughter and first	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	named executor without bond, is Petitioner. Petitioner seeks a ruling from the Court that three holographic instruments are codicils to the 12/6/07 Will	Note: This same Petition has been filed in the Estate proceeding (11CEPR00907).
Cont. from	of Decedent Esther Cenci ("Will").	4 37 10 1
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Potition on states	1. Need Order.
Verified	Petitioner states:	
Inventory	1. Esther C. Cenci ("Decedent") executed the Will, which	
PTC	provided for specific bequests and poured the residue of	
Not.Cred.	her estate over into the Survivor's Trust;	
Notice of	2. Recently, it was discovered that Decedent executed at least 3 holographic instruments in 2010 which could be	
Hrg	testamentary in nature;	
Aff.Mail	3. These holographic documents are dated 8/20/10,	
Aff.Pub.	8/26/10, and 9/6/10, and all have been lodged with the	
Sp.Ntc.	Court. 4. Petitioner believes these documents are codicils to the	
Pers.Serv.	Will, but requests clarification from this Court as to the	
Conf. Screen	effect of the holographic instruments.	
Letters		
Duties/Supp	Objection of Richard Cenci, filed 12/6/11, states:	
Objections	A. The interpretation of holographic instruments is moot because the probate proceeding is no longer necessary.	
Video	Specifically:	
Receipt	There are currently no estate assets, as Decedent	
CI Report	transferred the 1932 Packard automobile to the	
9202	Survivor's Trust prior to her death. The Trustee of the Survivor's Trust (Privae Riekel) has	
Order	The Trustee of the Survivor's Trust (Bruce Bickel) has told the Court and Objector's attorney that he considers	
Aff. Posting	the furniture and furnishings (of Decedent's residence)	Reviewed by: NRN
Status Rpt	to be assets of the Survivor's Trust; as such, there is	Reviewed on: 1/19/12
UCCJEA	nothing to probate under the Will.	Updates:
Citation	• Furthermore, when previously a personal representative of Decedent's estate was necessary because Decedent	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	was named as a respondent in related litigation involving the Family Trust, Decedent was ultimately dismissed due to her death and therefore a probate proceeding is no longer necessary.	File 2 - Cenci
	<u>SEE ATTACHED PAGE</u>	

CONT'D:

- B. The holographic instruments are not codicils to the Will. Specifically:
 - The 8/20/10 and 8/26/10 documents appear to be letters to Decedent's attorney with no real intent specifically shown to make the letters "codicils" to the Will.
 - The only dispositive provisions concern the distribution of the Packard automobile and household furniture, which are assets no part of the estate.
 - There are no nominations in the documents, and the majority of their contents concern Decedent's Survivor's Trust and family issues.
 - The 9/6/10 document has no dispositive provisions, nor does it nominate any personal representatives, and there is nothing in the document indicating it is a "codicil," but merely a letter of no consequence addressed to Decedent's attorney.
 - Even if the Court were to find that the 8/20/10 and 8/26/10 documents were somehow meant to be "codicils," there are no assets to distribute, such that any interpretation would be fruitless.
- C. The holographic instruments cannot change the terms of the Survivor's Trust:
 - If the creating instrument requires a specific reference to the power, the power may be exercised only by such specific reference to the power. *Estate of Muriel Eddy* (1982) Cal. App. 3d 292.
 - PrC §632 states that if the creating instrument expressly directs that a power of appointment be exercised by an instrument that makes specific reference to the power or instrument that created the power, the power can be exercised only by an instrument containing the required reference.
 - Here, the Survivor's Trust specifically requires that that the instrument, in this case, the holographic documents, has to refer to the power of appointment in those documents, which they do not.
 - The Cenci Family Trust specifically states that under the terms of the Survivor's Trust, on surviving settlor's death, the trustee shall distribute the remainder of the survivor's trust... to such one or more persons or entities..on such terms or conditions..as the surviving settlor shall appoint by a valid instrument or lifetime document that was executed after deceased settlor's death and specifically refers to this power of appointment. (Article Seventh, Section 7 of the Trust)
 - Unlike the 3 handwritten documents at issue here, Decedent's Will confirms this absolute requirement as it references Article Seventh, Section 7 of the Family Trust and specifically states that she (Decedent) is exercising her power of appointment.
- D. The holographic instruments do not meet the requirements of Codicil:
 - Objector incorporates the Objection to the Petition for Probate of Will and Letters Testamentary filed by Objector on 10/14/11 (*Case No. 11CEPR00907*).
 - The holographic instruments do not meet the statutory requirements of codicils under applicable probate code sections, as they are the product of fraud, or undue influence, or duress.
 - There is a trial in this case scheduled for 1/10/12. The issues herein may be moot after the trial.

Objector prays for an Order that 1) the three handwritten documents are not codicils and 2) that the three handwritten documents do not affect the dispositive provisions of the Survivor's Trust.

3A ELAINE A. MCLAIN (TRUST)

Case No. 11CEPR00028

Atty Panzak, Gordon (for Jeff Dale/Successor Trustee – Petitioner)

Atty Pasto, James H. (of San Diego, for Debbie Duehning, Guardian Ad Litem for George McLain IV, Trust beneficiary)

Status Conference Re: Petition for Instructions

DOD: 5/21/08	JEFF DALE , Successor Trustee of the Elaine A.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	McLain Trust of 1996 ("Trust"), is Petitioner.	
	Petitioner states:	Continued from 11/7/11. Minute Order
	=	states: Mr. Panzak requests the case be continued. If counsel needs the court's
Cont. from 022811,	1. Elaine McLain ("Decedent") created the Trust on 10/26/96 (a copy of the Trust is attached to Petition);	assistance, the court is amenable to a
041111, 060611,	2. The Trust became irrevocable upon Decedent's death in	conference call with both attorneys.
080111, 100311,	May 2008;	
1100711	3. Michele Dale and George McLain IV, named successor	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	trustees, are both incompetent within the meaning of the	
	Trust's disqualification clauses (see Trust – p. 14;	
√ Verified	paragraph 9);	
Inventory	4. Petitioner succeeded both George McLain IV and Michelle Dale as sole successor trustee;	
PTC	5. The principal place of Trust administration is Fresno	
Not.Cred.	County;	
√ Notice of	6. During the co-trusteeship of Michele Dale and George	
Hrg	McLain IV, Decedent directed that 2 parcels of Trust	
√ Aff.Mail w	real property be sold, and both co-trustees participated	
Aff.Pub.	in the sales;	
Sp.Ntc.	7. A substantial portion of the proceeds of the 2 sales were consumed in the day-to-day care of Decedent;	
Pers.Serv.	8. The remaining portion of the funds were placed in an	
Conf. Screen	investment account which primarily held stocks and	
	securities traded on the New York Stock Exchange;	
Letters	9. Title to the investment accounts were in the name of	
Duties/Supp	Elaine McLain and Michele Dale as joint tenants; no	
Objections	reference was made to trustee or fiduciary interests in the ownership documents;	
Video	10. The Trust (p. 10, paragraph 5, sub M), allows title to	
Receipt	remain in the Trust even though the title documents do	
CI Report	not disclose the trusteeship or fiduciary obligation of the	
9202	account holder;	
√ Order	11. In the fall of 2008, the Stock Market collapsed and the	
Aff. Posting	security accounts plummeted to ½ of their value and	Reviewed by: NRN
Status Rpt	were trending even lower; 12. The Conservator of the Estate for Michele Dale	Reviewed on: 1/13/12
UCCJEA	obtained an emergency court order, allowing funds to be	Updates:
Citation	withdrawn from the investment account before further	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	loss could occur, which resulted in saving	File 3A - Mclain
	approximately \$214,00.00;	
	13. Due to ambiguity of the Trust's wording at P.10,	
	paragraph 5, sub M, on the advice of counsel, the money	
	was split into 2 segregated accounts: one account for the benefit of Michele Dale, Conservatee, and the other	
	for the benefit of George McLain IV;	
	see attached page	
	1 0	
		3A

3A ELAINE A. MCLAIN (TRUST)

Case No. 11CEPR00028

Cont'd:

- 14. In September 2009, Conservator of Michele Dale petitioned this Court for instructions to distribute the segregated accounts to the Trust;
- 15. This Court declined to issue instructions, believing insufficient facts were before the Court to justify the instructions;
- 16. The Michele Dale Conservatorship's principal place of management is in Fresno County;
- 17. Pursuant to P.10, paragraph 5, subs. K and Q, the successor trustee is entitled to retain counsel and commence any necessary litigation on behalf of the Trust.

Argument:

- a. Petitioner believes the proceeds in the 2 segregated accounts are attributable and traceable to the co-trustees' sales of the 2 parcels of real property, and that the accounts are therefore property of the Trust;
- b. It is reasonable and necessary to file an action again the Conservatorship Estate of Michele Dale in order to regain control of the accounts and in order to close the Trust, pay debts and taxes, and distribute the remainder to the beneficiaries.

Petitioner requests an Order:

- 1. That good cause exists for the issuance of the instructions;
- 2. That successor trustee (Petitioner) be authorized to commence the litigation again the conservatorship to pay all necessary and reasonable costs involved from Trust assets;
- 3. That Petitioner be authorized to retain counsel as he deems appropriate and pay an initial retainer not to exceed \$10,000.00 and pay an hourly rate not to exceed \$300.00 per hour;
- 4. That Petitioner be authorized to enter into other such compensation agreements including making a contingency fee payment agreement not to exceed \$25,000.00 in addition to or alternative to the compensation mentioned with respect to counsel fees above.

Supplement to Successor Trustee's Petition for Instructions, filed 4/4/11 by Petitioner Jeff Dale, states:

- The matter was initially heard on 2/28/11 in Dept. 303, Fresno Superior Court;
- Upon agreement of the parties present and upon suggestion of the Court, Petitioner agreed to modify the Prayer of his Petition for Instructions as follows:
 - o Upon application of the Successor Trustee to the Trust, Petitioner prays for a Court order as follows:
 - That good cause appears for the issuance of the Instructions.
 - That Jeff Dale, as Conservator of the Estate of Michele Dale, Conservatee, be ordered to pay the Trust the sum of \$107,000.00, now being held by the Conservatorship of Michele Dale;
 - That Jeff Dale, as Conservator of the Estate of Michele Dale, Conservatee, be ordered to execute any and all documents necessary to facilitate such transfer of funds.

3B In Re the Trust of Elaine A Mclain (Trust)

Case No. 11CEPR00028

Atty Panzak, Gordon (for Jeff Dale/Successor Trustee - Petitioner)

Atty Pasto, James H. (of San Diego, for Debbie Duehning, Guardian Ad Litem for George McLain IV, Trust beneficiary)

Status Conference Re: Petition for Order Compelling Trustee to Make Distribution to Trust Beneficiary; For Imposition of a Constructive Trust; and for an Accounting [Prob. C. § 17200, et seq.]

DOD: 5/26/08			GEORGE MCLAIN IV, by and through his Guardian Ad Litem,		
			DEBBIE DUEHNING , is Petitioner ("Petitioner").		
			Petition states:		
	Cont. from 060611, 080111, 100311, 110711		 Decedent died on or about 5/26/08, a resident of San Diego County; The Trust at issue is The Elaine A. McLain Trust of 1996 ("Trust"); 		
080	Aff.Sub.Wit.	1	administration of said Trust is in Fresno County;		
			Respondent Jeffrey Dale ("Respondent"), Conservator of the Person and		
٧	Verified		Estate of Michelle Lloydel Dale; is also the sole successor Trustee of the		
	Inventory		Trust;		
	PTC		Petitioner George McLain IV is a beneficiary of theTrust;		
<u> </u>	Not.Cred.		• At the time of its creation, the Trust owned 1) real property known as 528		
٧	Notice of Hrg		Dawson, Morro Bay, California 2) 1095 Allesandro, Morro Bay,		
٧	Aff.Mail	W	California and 3) money invested in the Franklin California Tax Free		
	Aff.Pub.		Income Fund, Inc., Acct. No. 1120-1121500;		
	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.		 Prior to Decedent's death, the two real properties were sold by Respondent and deposited into bank accounts held in Michele Lloyd 		
	Conf. Screen		Dale's name, as was the Franklin California Tax Free account;		
	Letters		As such, the Trust's property is money held in an account or accounts in		
	Duties/Supp		Michele Lloydel Dale's name, in such sum(s) more particularly within		
	Objections		Respondent's knowledge;		
	Video Receipt		Petitioner believes some or all of the funds may have been transferred		
	Cl Report		back to the Trust and Petitioner is unaware of the precise ownership of		
	9202		those funds;		
	Order	Х	• However, Petitioner further alleges that if any transfer was made from the		
	Aff. Posting		Trust to Michele Lloydel Dale and Decedent with right of survivorship,		
	Status Rpt		such transfer was made when Trustor (Decedent) lacked capacity to		
	UCCJEA		understand the nature and effect of said transfer.		
	Citation		• Decedent did not intend to remove the funds from the Trust corpus, and did not intend for all of the unused portion of the Trust to go solely to		
	FTB Notice		Michele Lloydel Dale, to the exclusion of Decedent's son, Petitioner		
	1151101100		George Mclain IV;		
			• Petitioner is a Trust beneficiary with ½ interest in the Trust;		
			Michele Lloydel Dale is the only other Trust beneficiary;		
			Per Trust terms, upon Decedent Trustor's death, the Trust terminates and		
			is to be distributed to Decedent's children, Petitioner and Michele L.		
			Mclain Dale, equally.		
			Despite Petitioner's repeated demands, Respondent Jeffrey Dale has		
			refused to distribute that portion of the Trust to Petitioner;		
			• On 5/28/10, Petitioner's attorney (Mr. Pasto) delivered a written request to		
			Respondent for an account of Trust activities and status of the Trust		
			corpus (copy of letter attached to Petition);		
			Respondent Trustee has failed to provide any account whatsoever; Petitioner believes componentian in a reasonable sum determined by the		
			• Petitioner believes compensation in a reasonable sum determined by the Court should be awarded as attorney's fees for his attorney in this matter,		
			and that said amount should be charged to Respondent's account or as a		
			Trust expense and paid to Attorney Pasto.		
			SEE ATTACHED PAGE		
•		- '	Dont 202 0:00 cm Manday January 22 2012		

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COM MENTS:

Continued from 11/7/11. Minute Order states: Mr. Panzak requests the case be continued. If counsel needs the court's assistance, the court is amenable to a conference call with both attorneys.

1. Need Order.

Note: per this Court's order dated 6/6/11, the Court ordered Jeff Dale, as Conservator of the estate of Michele Dale, to transfer \$107,000.00 from the conservatorship to the Trust. No distributions are to be made without further court order.

NOTE: Page 3D is a Motion to Amend this Petition

Reviewed by: NRN

Reviewed on: 1/13/12

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 3B - Mclain

Cont'd:

Request for Imposition of Constructive Trust

- At all times a confidential relationship (Sole Trustee and Trust beneficiary) existed between Petitioner and Respondent;
- Respondent was aware or had reason to believe that Petitioner was suffering from a form of dementia and unable to
 understand the location and nature of his (Petitioner's) assets, and also unable to give informed consent to any of
 Respondent Trustee's actions in connection with Respondent's administration of the Trust;
- Respondent transferred title to the property that should have been distributed to Petitioner to account(s) in the name of Michele Doyle and without Petitioner's consent, at a loss to Petitioner in a sum as may be shown according to proof;
- Respondent has failed to distribute the funds to which Petitioner is entitled as Respondent Jeffery Dale, as Conservator of
 Michele Dale's estate wishes to maintain in other court and administrative proceedings the fiction that the Trust assets are
 property of the Trust and that Michele Dale does not have ownership or control of those funds;
- By virtue of Respondent's wrongful acts and the violation of the relationship of trust and confidence then existing between them, Respondent, as Trustee of the Trust and as Conservator of Michele Dale, holds title to Petitioner's one-half share of the Trust assets, as a constructive trustee for Petitioner's benefit.

Request for an Accounting

- Respondent owed Petitioner a duty to keep Petitioner reasonably informed of the Trust and its administration, and to
 account to Petitioner as a Trust beneficiary for Trust activities;
- Petitioner has repeatedly requested, both orally and in writing, that Respondent Jeffrey Dale, as Trustee of the Trust, provide an account of the Trust's activities and required by the California Probate Code;
- Respondent has breached his fiduciary duties owed to Petitioner.

Petitioner requests:

- The court find that Michele Dale holds Petitioner's interest in the aforementioned bank accounts in her name in constructive trust for the benefit of Petitioner;
- The court order Respondent Jeffrey Dale, Trustee, to distribute to Petitioner his portion of the Trust;
- The court require Respondent Jeffrey Dale to account for all Trust assets and activities to Petitioner;
- The court order Petitioner's attorney's fee in a reasonable sum to be paid to Petitioner's attorney directly from the Trust Estate, to be charged to Michele Dale or to the Trust estate;
- Costs of this proceeding be ordered paid by the Estate in proportions determined by the Court;
- Such other and further relief the Court deems just and proper.

SEE ATTACHED PAGE

3B

Cont'd:

3B

Respondent's Answer to Petitioner's Petition for Order Compelling Trustee, filed 6/2/11, states:

- Respondent denies most allegations of Petitioner's Petition based on insufficient knowledge of facts alleged;
- Upon the creation of the Trust and thereafter, Petitioner Jeff Dale and Michele Dale were acting as co-trustees of the Trust;
- Between 3/19/6 and 7/2007, Petitioner and Michele Dale executed the duties of co-trustees; during this time period and at the direction of Decedent, Petitioner and Michele Dale, acting as co-trustees, sold the 528 Dawson and 1095 Allesandro properties; during this same time period, proceeds from the property sales were place into interest-bearing accounts at the direction of Decedent; said accounts were in Decedent and Michele Dales' names (and not in the name "Elaine A. McLain Trust of 1996;" both co-trustees agreed to and participated in all sales and transfers of the funds to those accounts;
- Between 3/19/96 and 5/26/08, most, if not all of the sales proceeds were consumed in the care of Decedent until her death; both co-trustees agreed and participated in the payments;
- Upon Decedent's death, any residual funds in the accounts went to Michele under the survivorship provision of the accounts (said accounts having been created in that manner with the participation and agreement of Decedent and co-trustees Jeff Dale and Michele);
- Upon the incapacities of Petitioner George McLain and Michele Dale in July 2007, Jeff Dale became sole successor Trustee and the principal place of administration of the Trust became 1408 H Street in Fresno;
- The status of the Franklin Fund Account was concealed from Trustee by Petitioner and his Guardian Ad Litem acting in concert with one another, and was only recently discovered;
- Trustee has contacted Franklin Funds and is in the process of bringing these funds into the Trust;
- Petitioner's Guardian Ad Litem is the former wife of George McLain IV, and has him locked in her home and is taking the proceeds from his Veterans Benefit Checks by the artifice of a very questionable Power of Attorney;
- Petitioner's Petition is in furtherance of the Guardian Ad Litem's fraudulent intent to defraud the Trust and George McLain IV.
- Respondent alleges various affirmative defenses, based upon the following assertions:
 - Petitioner as a beneficiary lacks standing with respect to assets in a revocable Trust and where the assets were removed and revoked by Trustor no later than 2003;
 - The property sales and transfer of the proceeds to the aforementioned accounts occurred no later than 2003 and were done with the knowledge and participation of Petitioner George McLain IV;
 - o Petitioner's Petition is file against Jeff Dale in a personal capacity and not in the capacity of Trustee.
- Respondent requests the Petition by denied, costs be awarded to Respondent, and for any other relief or order that the Court deems appropriate.

3B

3C In Re the Trust of Elaine A Mclain (Trust)

Case No. 11CEPR00028

Atty Panzak, Gordon (for Jeff Dale/Successor Trustee – Petitioner)

Atty Pasto, James H. (of San Diego, for Debbie Duehning, Guardian Ad Litem for George McLain IV, Trust beneficiary)

Petition to Review Reasonableness of Trustees Compensation, Reasonableness of Attorney's Fees and Costs, for an Accounting and for Removal of Trustee (Prob. C. 17200, et seq.)

DOD: 5/26/08	GEORGE MCLAIN, IV, through his Guardian ad Litem, DEBBIE DUEHNING, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMEN TS:
Cont. from 101111, 110711 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	Petitioner states: Respondent, Jeffrey Dale ("Jeff Dale"), is Conservator of the person and estate of Michele Lloydel Dale ("Michele") in Fresno County Case No. 07CEPR01207; The Trust that is the subject of this action was created pursuant to a written Declaration of trust of Elaine A. McLain Trust of 1996," ("Trust"), and upon Elaine McLain's ("Decedent") death on 5/2/08, became irrevocable; Jeffrey Dale, resident of Fresno, is, after the incapacity George McLain IV, the sole successor trustee; George McLain IV is a Trust beneficiary, entitled to ½ of the estate (as is Michele), and he brings the instant petition pursuant to \$17200(b)(50, (b)(7)(B), (b)(7)(C), (b)(10), and (b)(12); At its creation, the Trust was the owner of two real properties located in Morro Bay, CA, as well as money invested in the Franklin California Tax Free Income Fund, Inc.; Prior to Decedent's death, the two Morro Bay properties were sold by the Trustee and the proceeds were deposited into bank accounts held in Michele's name—as were the liquidated proceeds from the Franklin California Tax Free Income Fund account; Petitioner believes that some or all of the funds held in Michele's name may have been transferred back to the Trust, however, Petitioner is unaware of the precise ownership of these funds; however, if any of the funds were transferred from the Trust to Michele and Decedent with right of survivorship, said transfer was made when Decedent lacked capacity to understand the nature and effect of the transfer; Petitioner alleges that Decedent did not intend to remove funds from the Trust corpus, nor did she intend for the unused portion of the Trust to go solely to Michele, to the exclusion of her son, Petitioner George McLain; Respondent Trustee's Request for Compensation and Attorneys' Fees and Costs The Trust does not specify the trustee's compensation; On 7/29/11, Jeff Dale, as Trustee, served on Petitioner a First Account of Trust assets; the account requests \$14,400.00 as trustee compensation and \$19,500.00 for attorneys'	Continued from 11/7/11. Minute Order states: Mr. Panzak requests the case be continued. If counsel needs the court's assistance, the court is amenable to a conference call with both attorneys. Reviewed by: NRN Reviewed on: 1/13/12 Updates: Recommendation: File 3C - Mclain

Cont'd:

- Said amounts are unreasonably high and unfounded because:
 - The main asset listed, a sum of \$109,451.02 on deposit with Bank of the West, was not deposited into the Trust account until April 2011 (and was, prior to this time, held in Michele's conservatorship estate); as Conservator of Michele's estate therefore, presumably Jeff Dale was entitled to and did receive compensation as Conservator in that proceeding prior to that April 2011 deposit;
 - o Further, the First Account lists two other "possible" assets, as they are either not yet part of the Trust estate or even Trust assets. These are a Franklin Templeton Investment Account in the approximate sum of \$21,631.91 and listed in the Account as "Pending transfer to the Trust"), and the other is designated as a "possible Trust asset," which being investigated by the Trustee; once again, there is no explanation as to why the Franklin Templeton Account has not been transferred to the Trust in the over 3-year period since Decedent's death; and again, assuming these funds were part of Michele's conservatorship estate, Jeff Dale was entitled to and presumably did receive compensation for his services as Conservator of the Michele's estate; presumably the "possible Trust asset" has also been held in the name of Michele's conservatorship estate;
- Still, Jeff Dale now seeks compensation in the amount of \$14,400.00, and he also does not explain the amount of attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$19,900.00;
- Petitioner also objects to the First Account in that it does not contain an inventory of the assets at such time as Jeff
 Dale assumed his duties as sole trustee, nor does the First Account provide detail of his actions taken as the trustee;
- Jeff Dale has breached his fiduciary duty to reasonably inform the Trust beneficiaries of his activities as trustee, as well as account for Trust assets;
- Jeff Dale has further wasted and mismanaged Trust assets to the detriment and loss of the Trust estate by commingling Trust assets with assets belonging to Michele's conservatorship estate and he has failed to collect and preserve Trust assets in a timely fashion;
- Jeff Dale has further attempted to raid the Trust by requesting unreasonable compensation for activities conducted not for the Trust's benefit, but rather for Michele's conservatorship estate.

Petitioner requests:

- 1. A Court order compelling Jeff Dale to distribute to Petitioner his ½ portion of the Trust;
- 2. That the Court remove Jeff Dale as trustee of the Trust and appoint Petitioner as sole trustee;
- 3. Should the Court be unwilling to appoint Petitioner, that the Court appoint an independent qualified trustee;
- 4. That Jeff Dale be ordered to deliver all Trust assets in his possession and control to the successor trustee within 60 days after issuance of this Court's order;
- 5. That Jeff Dale be ordered to file an accounting detailing his acts as trustee, no later than 60 days after the Court's order;
- 6. That the Court order Petitioner's attorneys' fees in a reasonable sum, to be paid from the Trust estate, to be charged to Michele or the Trust estate;
- 7. That costs of this proceeding be ordered to be paid by the Trust estate in proportions determined by the Court; and
- 8. Other such relief as the Court deems proper.

In Re the Trust of Elaine A Mclain (Trust) 3D

Case No. 11CEPR00028

Panzak, Gordon (for Jeff Dale/Successor Trustee - Petitioner) Atty

Pasto, James H. (of San Diego, for Debbie Duehning, Guardian Ad Litem for George McLain IV, Trust beneficiary)

Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Petition

Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Petition			
DOD: 5/26/08	GEORGE MCLAIN, IV, through his		
	Guardian ad Litem, DEBBIE		
	DUEHNING , is Petitioner.		
Cont. from 101111,	Petition states:		
110711	Amendment to the original Petition is		
Aff.Sub.Wit.	necessary to clarify that Jeffrey Dale is		
Verified	= named as a respondent not only as an		
Inventory	individual, but also in his capacity as		
PTC	trustee (Jeffrey Dale has refused to		
Not.Cred.	respond to discovery thus far, as he		
Notice of	Petitioner's original Petition is directed		
Hrg	at him as an individual)		
Aff.Mail	,		
Aff.Pub.	Petitioner should further be permitted to		
Sp.Ntc.	amend his petition to allege additional		
Pers.Serv.	acts of the trustee which would		
Conf. Screen	constitute a breach of his fiduciary		
Letters	duties; Jeffrey Dale has served a First		
Duties/Supp	Account of his Trust activities, and,		
Objections	Petitioner believes that such activities		
Video	(or lack thereof) furnish an additional		
Receipt	basis for relief and should be included		
CI Report	in the relief requests.		
9202	• Therefore, Petitioner requests the		
Order	Court order the First Amended Petition		
Aff. Posting	(attached to Petition herein) be filed.		
Status Rpt			
UCCJEA	Points and Authorities (regarding amendments		
Citation	of pleadings) included in Petition		
FTB Notice			

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Continued from 11/7/11. Minute Order states: Mr. Panzak requests the case be continued. If counsel needs the court's assistance, the court is amenable to a conference call with both attorneys.

NOTE: This Motion to Amend concerns Petitioner's Petition for for Order Compelling Trustee to Make Distribution to Trust Beneficiary; For Imposition of a Constructive Trust; and for an Accounting, filed 4/6/11, and the subject of Page 3A.

Reviewed by: NRN				
Reviewed on: 1/13/12				
Updates:				
Recommendation:				
File 3D - Mclain				

3D

Atty Atty Matlak, Steven M. (for Petitioner Joseph Pedemonte)

Nina Helgeson, Maria Pedemonte & Augustina Pedemonte, pro per Objectors
Petition to Appoint Successor Trustee

			JOSEPH PEDEMONTE, income beneficiary, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
			Petitioner.	COMMENTS:
			Petitioner states during his lifetime he is the sole income beneficiary of the AUGUSTINE J. PEDEMONTE	Continued from 11/14/11. Minute order states parties
	nt. from 042511	L ,	TRUST dated April 13, 1983.	agree to appoint Bruce Bickel as successor trustee.
	0611, 072511,		Eugene A. Zanardi was the initial trustee of the Trust.	Matter continued to
091	.211, 111411		Eugene resigned on 7/31/1995. Named successor	1/23/12; however, if parties
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		trustee, Diane Williams declined to act, thereby creating	can stipulate to a bond
✓	Verified		a vacancy. There are no other trustees named in the Trust	amount and bond is posted, then the matter on
	Inventory		instrument.	1/23/2012 may be taken off
	PTC		On 2/22/1996 Petitioner filed a Petition to Appoint	calendar. <i>As of 1/12/12 a</i>
	Not.Cred.		Successor Trustee (Fresno Superior Court case no.	bond has not been filed.
1	Notice of		554667). As requested in the petition the court	For Petitioner:
Ĺ	Hrg		appointed Robert Garavello as successor trustee of the	FOI I CHUUNCI.
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	trust.	1. Probate Code §15602
	Aff.Pub.		On or about 2/28/11 Robert Garavello signed a	provides that when an individual who was not
	Sp.Ntc.		Resignation of Trustee, whereby Robert Garavello	named as trustee in the
	Pers.Serv.		resigned as Trustee of the trust effective upon the occurrence of the earliest to occur of the following	instrument is appointed
	Conf. Screen		events: (i) the closing of the pending loan in the	as trustee, the trustee
	Letters		approximate amount of \$300,000 between Pedemonte	must be bonded. The
	Duties/Supp		Properties Inc., and tri-Counties Bank, which loan will	court may not excuse the requirement of a
1	Objections		be secured by the real property located at 7520 N. Palm	bond except under
<u> </u>	-		Ave., Fresno. (ii) the appointment of a successor trustee	compelling
	Video		of the Trust and related trust known as the Susan	circumstances.
	Receipt		Pedemonte Trust, of which Robert Garavelle is currently	E Ohit
	CI Report		serving as trustee, or (iii) September 1, 2011.	For Objectors:
	9202		The Trust requires that a vacancy in the office of trustee	1. There is nothing in the
✓	Order		be filled. By an instrument in writing, Joseph	file to indicate the
			Pedemonte, the beneficiary of the Trust, has nominated	amount the bond should
	Aff. Posting		ROBERT S. SWANTON as successor Trustee to fill the vacancy, and said nominee has signed his consent.	be set at. Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		•	Reviewed on: 1/12/12
	UCCJEA		The Trust waives bond for the persons named as trustee	Updates:
	Citation		or any person appointed as trustee in the manner specified in the Trust.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		•	File 4 - Pedemonte
			Petitioner prays for an order:	
			1. Appointing ROBERT S. SWANTON as successor	
			trustee of the Trust, investing with him all the powers	
			vesting in the office of the trustee under the Trust.	
			Please see additional page for objections.	
				Δ

4 –additional page - Augustine J Pedemonte Trust (Trust)

Case No. 11CEPR00192

Objections to Petition to Appoint Successor Trustee, filed on 4/18/11 by Nina Helgeson, Maria Pedemonte and Augustina Pedemonte. Objectors state they are the grandchildren of the Trustor and remainder beneficiaries of the Trust. Objectors object to the appointment of the Nominated Successor Trustee (Robert S. Swanton) as the Successor Trustee of the Trust and desire to have someone appointed who will be fair and impartial to all the beneficiaries of the Trust.

Since the Trust only waives bond for the person named in the instrument at Article 6.01, any successor Trustee should be required to post bond in the statutory amount, unless a corporate Trustee or public agency is appointed as trustee of the Trust.

Instead of the Nominated Successor Trustee (Robert S. Swanton), Objectors hereby nominate in the place and stead of the resigning Trustee, either the FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S OFFICE or EDWIN D. HUFF, CPA of Fresno, California.

Wherefore, Objectors pray for an order of the Court as follows:

- 1. The appointment of ROBERT S. SWANTON, as Successor Trustee of the Trust be DENIED;
- 2. The court appoint the FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S OFFICE as successor Trustee of the Trust or in the alternative, the Court appoint EDWIN D. HUFF, CPA, as the Successor Trustee of the Trust;
- 3. The Court require that the Successor Trustee so appointed by the Court give bond in the statutory amount, unless appointed Successor Trustee is a corporate Trustee or Public Agency.

Supplemental Objection to Petition to Appoint Successor Trustee filed by Nina Helgeson, Maria Pedemonte and Augustina Pedemonte on 6/3/11 allege that the resigning Trustee was remiss in carrying out his fiduciary duties in the administration of the 1983 Trust in that the 1983 Trust was essentially being administered by the Petitioner rather than the Trustee, in the Petitioner's own interest and not in the interest of the Objectors.

The nominated successor Trustee is already acting Truste of The Augustine J. Pedemonte Trust of 1990 ("1990 Trust"), which is a separate Trust from the above captioned 1983 Trust, but which about Objectors have not information other than being apprised of its existence in the Settlement Agreement. Objectors believe they are also beneficiaries of the 1990 Trust.

Objectors continue to object to the appointed of the Nominated Trustee and herby nominate the Fresno County Public Guardian's Office or Edwin D. Huff, C.P.A. Objectors believe that the nominated successor Trustee no longer wished to act as trustee of the Trusts.

Wherefore, Objectors continue to pray for an order of the Court as follows:

- 4. The appointment of ROBERT S. SWANTON, as Successor Trustee of the Trust be DENIED;
- 5. The court appoint the FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S OFFICE as successor Trustee of the Trust or in the alternative, the Court appoint EDWIN D. HUFF, CPA, as the Successor Trustee of the Trust;
- 6. The Court require that the Successor Trustee so appointed by the Court give bond in the statutory amount, unless appointed Successor Trustee is a corporate Trustee or Public Agency.

Matlak, Steven M. (for Petitioner Joseph Pedemonte) Nina Helgeson, Maria Pedemonte & Augustina Pedemonte pro per Objectors **Petition to Appoint Successor Trustee**

			JOSEPH PEDEMONTE, income beneficiary, is	
			Petitioner.	
			Petitioner states during his lifetime he is the sole income	
			beneficiary of the SUSAN PEDEMONTE TRUST dated	
	nt. from 042511	l,	April 13, 1983.	
	0611, 072511,		Eugene A. Zanardi was the initial trustee of the Trust.	
091	211, 111411	ī	Eugene resigned on 7/31/1995. Named successor trustee,	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Diane Williams declined to act, thereby creating a vacancy.	
✓	Verified		There are no other trustees named in the Trust instrument.	
	Inventory		On 2/22/1996 Petitioner filed a Petition to Appoint	
	PTC		Successor Trustee (Fresno Superior Court case no. 554665).	
	Not.Cred.		As requested in the petition the court appointed Robert	
✓	Notice of		Garavello as successor trustee of the trust.	
	Hrg		On or about 2/28/11 Robert Garavello signed a Resignation	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	of Trustee, whereby Robert Garavello resigned as Trustee	
	Aff.Pub.		of the trust effective upon the occurrence of the earliest to	
	Sp.Ntc.		occur of the following events: (i) the closing of the pending loan in the approximate amount of \$300,000 between	
	Pers.Serv.		Pedemonte Properties Inc., and tri-Counties Bank, which	
	Conf. Screen		loan will be secured by the real property located at 7520 N.	
	Letters		Palm Ave., Fresno. (ii) the appointment of a successor	
	Duties/Supp		trustee of the Trust and related trust known as the Susan	
✓	Objections		Pedemonte Trust, of which Robert Garavelle is currently serving as trustee, or (iii) September 1, 2011.	
	Video			
	Receipt		The Trust requires that a vacancy in the office of trustee be	
	CI Report		filled. By an instrument in writing, Joseph Pedemonte, the	
	9202		beneficiary of the Trust, has nominated ROBERT S. SWANTON as successor Trustee to fill the vacancy, and	
✓	Order		said nominee has signed his consent.	
			The Trust waives bond for the persons named as trustee or	
			any person appointed as trustee in the manner specified in	
			the Trust.	
	Aff D. II		Petitioner prays for an order:	
	Aff. Posting		2. Appointing ROBERT S. SWANTON as successor	
	Status Rpt UCCJEA		trustee of the Trust, investing with him all the powers	
	Citation		vesting in the office of the trustee under the Trust.	
	FTB Notice		Diamagana additional and a few altitudions	
			Please see additional page for objections.	

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/ COMMENTS:

Continued from 11/14/11. Minute order states parties agree to appoint Bruce Bickel as successor trustee. Matter continued to 1/23/12; however, if parties can stipulate to a bond amount and bond is posted, then the matter on 1/23/2012 may be taken off calendar. As of 1/12/12 a bond has not been filed.

For Petitioner:

2. Probate Code §15602 provides that when an individual who was not named as trustee in the instrument is appointed as trustee, the trustee must be bonded. The court may not excuse the requirement of a bond except under compelling circumstances.

For Objectors:

2. There is nothing in the file to indicate the amount the bond should be set at.

Reveiwed on: 1/12/12

Reviewed by: KT

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 5 - Pedemonte

5 – additional page - Susan Pedemonte Trust (Trust)

Case No. 11CEPR00193

Objections to Petition to Appoint Successor Trustee, filed on 4/18/11 by Nina Helgeson, Maria Pedemonte and Augustina Pedemonte. Objectors state they are the grandchildren of the Trustor and remainder beneficiaries of the Trust. Objectors object to the appointment of the Nominated Successor Trustee (Robert S. Swanton) as the Successor Trustee of the Trust and desire to have someone appointed who will be fair and impartial to all the beneficiaries of the Trust.

Since the Trust only waives bond for the person named in the instrument at Article 6.01, any successor Trustee should be required to post bond in the statutory amount, unless a corporate Trustee or public agency is appointed as trustee of the Trust.

Instead of the Nominated Successor Trustee (Robert S. Swanton), Objectors hereby nominate in the place and stead of the resigning Trustee, either the FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S OFFICE or EDWIN D. HUFF, CPA of Fresno, California.

Wherefore, Objectors pray for an order of the Court as follows:

- 7. The appointment of ROBERT S. SWANTON, as Successor Trustee of the Trust be DENIED;
- 8. The court appoint the FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S OFFICE as successor Trustee of the Trust or in the alternative, the Court appoint EDWIN D. HUFF, CPA, as the Successor Trustee of the Trust;
- 9. The Court require that the Successor Trustee so appointed by the Court give bond in the statutory amount, unless appointed Successor Trustee is a corporate Trustee or Public Agency.

Supplemental Objection to Petition to Appoint Successor Trustee filed by Nina Helgeson, Maria Pedemonte and Augustina Pedemonte on 6/3/11 allege that the resigning Trustee was remiss in carrying out his fiduciary duties in the administration of the 1983 Trust in that the 1983 Trust was essentially being administered by the Petitioner rather than the Trustee, in the Petitioner's own interest and not in the interest of the Objectors.

The nominated successor Trustee is already acting Truste of The Augustine J. Pedemonte Trust of 1990 ("1990 Trust"), which is a separate Trust from the above captioned 1983 Trust, but which about Objectors have not information other than being apprised of its existence in the Settlement Agreement. Objectors believe they are also beneficiaries of the 1990 Trust.

Objectors continue to object to the appointed of the Nominated Trustee and herby nominate the Fresno County Public Guardian's Office or Edwin D. Huff, C.P.A. Objectors believe that the nominated successor Trustee no longer wished to act as trustee of the Trusts.

Wherefore, Objectors continue to pray for an order of the Court as follows:

- 10. The appointment of ROBERT S. SWANTON, as Successor Trustee of the Trust be DENIED;
- 11. The court appoint the FRESNO COUNTY PUBLIC GUARDIAN'S OFFICE as successor Trustee of the Trust or in the alternative, the Court appoint EDWIN D. HUFF, CPA, as the Successor Trustee of the Trust;
- 12. The Court require that the Successor Trustee so appointed by the Court give bond in the statutory amount, unless appointed Successor Trustee is a corporate Trustee or Public Agency.

Zumwalt, Robert B (of Hanford for Petitioner/Executor Judith Lynn Ashley)
Petition for Final Distribution and Waiver of Account [Prob. C. §11640]

DOI	D: 2/13/11		JUDITH LYNN ASHLEY, NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
DOD: 2/13/11			Executor, is petitioner.	
			Executor, is petitioner.	
			A accounting is varived	
Con	t. from		Accounting is waived.	
Con	Aff.Sub.Wit.		T 0 A 0 41 C 170 20	
			I & A - \$416,150.20	
✓	Verified		POH - \$416,150.20	
1	Inventory]_	
	PTC		Executor - waives	
✓				
 🗸	Not.Cred.		Attorney - waives	
1	Notice of			
	Hrg		Distribution pursuant to	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Decedent's Will and Codicil is to:	
	Aff.Pub.		Tradith I ymn Aghaly	
	Sp.Ntc.		Judith Lynn Ashely -	
	Pers.Serv.		Merrill Lynch account with a	
	Conf. Screen		balance of \$412,882.30 and cash in	
✓	Letters 6/6/2	11	the sum of \$3,267.90	
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
✓	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/12/12	
	UCCJEA		Updates:	
	Citation		Recommendation: SUBMITTED	
✓	FTB Notice		File 6 - Lewis	

Jeanette Davis Revocable Trust, dated 10-27-95 Case No. 11CEPR00618 Knudson, David N. Approval of Accounting Atty

A			NICEDS /DDODLENAS /CONANAENTS.
Age:			NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD:			055 041 5110 40
			<u>OFF CALENDAR</u>
Cont. from			Order Approving Settlement and Approving
Aff.Sub.Wit.			Accounting was filed 1-3-12.
Verified			
Inventory			
PTC			
Not.Cred.			
Notice of			
Hrg			
Aff.Mail			
Aff.Pub.			
Sp.Ntc.			
Pers.Serv.			
Conf. Screen			
Letters			
Duties/Supp			
Objections			
Video			
Receipt			
CI Report			
9202			
Order			
Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1-12-12
UCCJEA			Updates:
Citation			Recommendation:
FTB Notice]	File 7 - Davis

Atty Lambert, Arthur (pro per – maternal great-uncle/Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

8

Wi	ndell, 11	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 01/23/12	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
\vdash	B: 3/2/00	ARTHUR LAMBERT, maternal great-	CONTINUED FROM 11/21/11
	ndaisah, 8	uncle, is petitioner.	Temporary was granted as to Windell & Windaisha only.
DOB: 3/21/03		Windell and Windaisha's father:	•
	veeon, 4 B: 6/26/07	WINDELL LIGHTLE, SR. – declaration	As of 01/12/12, the following remains outstanding:
	B. 0/20/07	of due diligence filed 12/20/11	1. Need Notice of Hearing.
Col	nt. from 112111	Daveeon's father: KENNETH COLTER –	2. Need proof of personal service of <i>Notice of Hearing</i> with a copy of the <i>Petition</i> at least
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	declaration of due diligence filed 12/20/11	15 days before the hearing <u>or</u> Consent and Waiver of Notice for:
√	Verified	Mother: JACQUELLA ELEY - deceased	- Windell Lightle, Sr. (father of Windell &
	Inventory	Mother. JACQUELLA ELET - deceased	Windaisha) - Kenneth Colter (father of Daveoon)
	PTC	Windell & Windaisha's paternal	Declarations of Due Diligence filed 12/20/11
	Not.Cred.	grandparents: UNKNOWN Daveeon's paternal grandfather:	state that Petitioner has been unable to make contact with either father.
	Notice of x	UNKNOWN	3. Need proof of service by mail of <i>Notice of</i>
	Hrg	Daveeon's paternal grandmother: JOYCE THOMAS – declaration of due diligence	Hearing with a copy of the Petition at least 15 days before the hearing or Consent and
	Aff.Mail x	filed 12/20/11	Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due
	Aff.Pub.	Maternal grandfather: DENNIS ELEY –	Diligence for: - Paternal grandparents (unknown)
	Sp.Ntc.	declaration of due diligence filed 12/20/11 Maternal grandmother: DECEASED	- Dennis Eley (maternal grandfather)
	Pers.Serv. x		Declarations of due diligence filed 12/20/11 state that the Petitioner has been unable to
✓	Conf. Screen	Petitioner states on 8/14/11 the children's mother called him and asked if he could	locate contact information for Joyce Thomas
✓	Letters	become the guardian of her children in the	(Daveeon's paternal grandmother), and that the maternal grandfather, Dennis Eley,
✓	Duties/Supp	event she died. Mom died on 8/21/11. The	resides out of state and the Petitioner has
	Objections	father of the youngest child was incarcerated when he was born and is	not had contact with him.
	Video	currently on parole. The youngest child's	
	Receipt	father has a mental disability and is on SSI and may not be able to take care of the	
√	CI Report	child. The older children have not seen	
<u> </u>	9202 Order	their father for the last 6-7 years. Neither of	
Ė	Aff. Posting	the fathers has financially supported the children.	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt		Reviewed by: 31 Reviewed on: 01/12/12
✓	UCCJEA	Court Investigator Samantha Henson's report was filed 11/10/11.	Updates: 01/20/12
	Citation	report was then 11/10/11.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	Court Investigator Samantha Henson's report was filed 01/17/12.	File 8 – Lightle & Colter

Atty

Alch, Thomas S. (for Tina Neal – Mother – Petitioner)
Probate Status Hearing Re: Confirmation of Transfer

Age: 1	THE LAILA NEAL SPECIAL NEEDS TRUST was	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOB: 4-25-10	created pursuant to Court Order Granting	NEEDS, I NOBELINIS, COMMENTS.
	Petition for Approval of Creation of Proposed	1. Need transfer fees:
	Special Needs Trust on 11-21-11.	- \$50.00 check made out to Fresno
		Superior Court for transfer fees
	The Court Order states that the trust will be	- \$395.00 check made out to Los
Aff.Sub.Wit.	subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the	Angeles Superior Court (provided to
	Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles,	Fresno Probate Clerk's Office to send
Verified	and the Court set this status hearing for	with the transferred file).
Inventory	confirmation of the transfer of the file to Los	
PTC	Angeles.	Note: At follow up for these Examiner
Not.Cred.		Notes on 1-12-12, Examiner was advised by Attorney Alch's office to
Notice of		contact Rhonda Arastoozad at the
Hrg		Wells Fargo Trust Office regarding
Aff.Mail		transfer fees.
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		Per Ms. Arastoozad, she would
Pers.Serv.		arrange the fees to be mailed with
Conf. Screen		another attorney's office.
Letters		As of 1-20-12, fees have not been
Duties/Supp	=	received.
Objections		
Video		Note: Per Attorney Alch's office on 1-
Receipt		20-12, the checks would be sent for
CI Report		receipt on Monday 1-23-12.
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1-20-12
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 9 - Neal

10A Esther C. Cenci (Estate)

Case No. 11CEPR00907

Atty Erlach, Mara M. (for Terese Ann Cenci McGee, daughter – Objector/Competing Petitioner)

Atty Sanoian, Joanne (for Richard Cenci, son – Petitioner)

Atty Sullivan, Robert (for Bruce Bickel, Objector)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DO	D: 8/31/11	RICHARD G. CENCI, son and named	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		second alternate executor without bond, is	NOTE D 14D: OL: 4
		Petitioner. Petitioner declines to act as	NOTE: Page 14B is Objector Terese Cenci McGee's competing
		Executor and nominates JONALYN	Petition for Probate, which
Coı	nt. from 111411	CENCI (daughter of Decedent), who	requests that requests the Court
	Aff.Sub.Wit. s/		issue a ruling that the three
٧	Verified	Jonalyn Cenci is the named third alternate	holographic instruments are
	Inventory	executor; Terese McGee (competing	codicils to Esther Cenci's Will.
	PTC	Petitioner) is the first named executor.)	
	Not.Cred.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
٧	Notice of	Will dated – 12/6/07	
	Hrg		
٧	Aff.Mail w	Full IAEA – o.k.	
٧	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.	Residence – Fresno	
	Conf. Screen	Publication- Fresno Business Journal	
٧	Letters		
٧	Duties/Supp	Estimated value of estate	
	Objections	Personal property \$167,000.00	
	Video	Annual income .0	
	Receipt	Real property	
	CI Report	TOTAL \$167,000.00	
	9202	\$107,000.00	
٧	Order	PROBATE REFEREE: RICK SMITH	
	Aff. Posting	TRODATE REFEREE: RICK SMITH	Reviewed by: NRN
	Status Rpt	-	Reviewed on: 1/13/12
	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 10A - Cenci
		SEE ATTACHED PAGE	

Objection of Trustee Bruce Bickel, filed 11/7/11, states:

- Bickel is Trustee of the Survivor's Trust; in her Will, Decedent gave all of her estate and property to the Trustee of the Survivor's Trust:
- Trustee does not take position with the appointment of Terese McGee;
- However, Bickel objects to the appointment of Jonalyn because she is incapable of competently administering the estate because of her displayed hostility toward Decedent, the estate, the Survivor's Trust and the assets of the Survivor's Trust;
- Specifically, Jonalyn has repeatedly attempted to hinder Trustee Bickel's attempts to market and sell Decedent's real property (see Declaration of Bob Wiginton, Realtor, and Supp Decl. of Atty Sullivan in in Support of Motion to Expunge, attached to Objection as Exhs A and B respectively);
- Also, Jonalyn has demonstrated a personal and unwarranted animosity towards the Trustee, and has attacked his actions, integrity and morals demonstrating that her emotions are driving her actions (*see Supp Decl. of Atty Sullivan, Exh. B*);
- Further, <u>Decedent gave instruction to remove Jonalyn from the Survivor's Trust and Will (in Decedent's 8/20/10 Codicil) and did not want to be visited by Jonalyn (Decedent's 9/6/10 Codicil);</u>
- Jonalyn's actions have hindered efforts to preserve the estate and her appointment as Executor would likely do severe and irreparable damage to the estate;
- If the Court determines that neither Jonalyn nor Terese are competent to act as Executor, Trustee Bickel should be appointed as Administrator with Will Annexed;
- As Jonalyn's activities with respect to Decedent's estate would warrant her removal as executor if appointed under PrC §8502, she is incompetent to be appointed under PrC §8402;
- Finally, PrC §8441(b) gives a trustee priority for appointment as personal representative, as someone who take more than 50% of the estate; here, as Trustee of the Survivor's Trust, Trustee Bickel take virtually all of the Estate.

Objector Bickel requests that the Court:

- 1. Deny Richard Cenci's Petition for Jonalyn's appointment;
- 2. If appropriate, appoint Trustee Bickel as the personal representative;
- 3. Award Bickel his costs in this proceeding.

Response of Richard G. Cenci to Objection of Bruce Bickel, filed 11/9/11, states:

- Bickel ineffectively argues that Jonalyn is incompetent to act under PrC §§8402(a)(3) and 8502(b),(d) simply because she disagrees with how Bickel is administering the Survivor's Trust;
- As a beneficiary of the Trust, Bickel owes Jonalyn a fiduciary duty to handle and manage the Survivor's Trust assets in a prudent and reasonable manner, and has the right to question and object to his actions as Trustee;
- Bickel has never spoken to Jonalyn about any need to sell the real property, nor has he ever sought Jonalyn's input into the necessity of that sale, or reasonable alternatives to the sale;
- Petitioner Cenci and Jonalyn are adamantly opposed to the sale, which was communicated to Bickel;
- Attached to Petitioner's Response are two letters (*Exhs. A and B*) from Attorney Sullivan to Petitioner's attorney, threatening legal action against Jonalyn for advising potential "buyers" of the residence that the house was the subject of litigation and that a lis pendens had been filed;
- Petitioner's attorney does not represent Jonalyn and does not know why the letters were sent to her, but the letters are alarming (see attached letters);
- Jonalyn has never touched or taken any "hostile position" against Decedent's car or household furniture or furnishings, which are the only assets of the estate probate, and has taken no action that disqualifies her from acting as the personal representative;
- Furthermore, Bickel should not be appointed as administrator, because he will not ensure the Decedent's estate is protected; he has committed harm to the estate by driving the 1931 Packard without authority and without adequate insurance coverage to an appraiser and afterwhich time the car broke down and had to be towed to a repair shop (See correspondence between Attys Sanoian and Sullivan regarding these incidents, attached as Exhs. C-E);
 - <u>SEE ATTACHED PAGE</u>

- Bickel takes no stance on Terese's appointment as executor and does not object to Terese's proposed admission of three handwritten documents she purports to be Codicils; Terese is attempting to admit these document as codicils in an attempt to change the distribution of Decedent's estate so that only she and Herman Jr. inherit under the Survivor's Trust; therefore, Bickel would not qualify for appointment under PrC§8441(b), as the Survivor's Trust would receive nothing;
- Bickel gives no reason for the sale of the real property; and allowed over \$30,000.00 to be spent by Terese over a 9-month period, after she was removed as trustee of the Survivor's Trust which resulted in no money for Decedent's care at one point; Bickel's actions were not in the sole interest of Decedent during her life, and are not now in the interest of Petitioner nor Jonalyn as beneficiaries of the Survivor's Trust;
- Bickel has allowed Terese to live rent-free in the real property, drive the Packard around town, and spend over \$30,000.00 of Trust assets, and does not object to Terese's Petition for Probate; Bickel is clearly not acting impartially toward all four Trust beneficiaries as required by PrC §16003 (if a trust has 2 or more beneficiaries, trustee has duty to deal impartially with them and to act impartially in investing and managing the trust property);
- Bickel has also continued to allow the Trust real property to remain unproductive by not receiving rent on it, in violation of PrC §16607 (a trustee has a duty to make the trust property productive under the circumstances and in furtherance of the trust)

Petitioner requests the Court order:

- 1. That Jonalyn Cenci be appointed as Executor;
- 2. That Bickel's petition for appointment as administrator be denied in its entirety.

Objection of Terese McGee to Petition for Richard Cenci's Petition for Probate, filed 11/9/11, states:

- Decedent's 2007 Will provides for some specific bequests and poured the residue of the estate into the Survivor's Trust;
- As the nominated executor in the Will, Terese has therefore petitioned for appointment;
- Terese objects to Richard Cenci's Petition to appoint Jonalyn; Decedent's Will does not name Jonalyn as the first choice for executor; rather, Jonalyn is listed third, after Terese and Richard;
- Decedent had numerous opportunities to revise her estate plan since the 2007 Will; she never revised her estate plan to remove Terese as named executor, even though she made other changes as recently as Sept. 2010 (three holographic instruments executed in August and Sept. 2010 are treated as codicils and attached to Terese's Petition for Probate as well as the instant Objection, as *Exh. A*);
- Jonalyn's appointment appears to be directly contrary to Decedent's wishes; the 9/6/10 codicil declares she does not want Jonalyn (referred to by Decedent as "Joan") to visit her, does not want her at her (Decedent's) funeral, and that she wishes to disinherit Jonalyn because she and Richard want control of Decedent's affairs; in the 8/20/10 codicil, Decedent instructs that Jonalyn be removed from her Survivor's Trust and Will;
- Further, at trial on 8/5/11, Decedent testified she was "angry with Jonalyn," and also testified that she did not believe Terese should be punished for lending money to Herman Jr. (pertinent trial transcript pages attached to Objection as Exh. B);
- Jonalyn is incompetent to be Decedent's personal representative, as she has demonstrated behavior showing she does not have the estate's best interest, or its beneficiaries at heart, and has displayed a pattern of harassing and threatening behavior toward attorneys, beneficiaries, fiduciaries and their agents and also Bruce Bickel (copies of e-mails from Jonalyn to Attorney Keeler attached as Exh. C);
- Appointing Jonalyn would result in a conflict of interest as Richard is petitioning for Jonalyn's appointment, and he is also suing Decedent in a separate trust matter before this Court.

Objector Terese requests the Court:

- 1. Deny Richard's Petition to appoint Jonalyn as Executor;
- 2. Appoint Terese as Executor to serve without bond as specified in Decedent's 2007 Will.

10B Esther C. Cenci (Estate)

Case No. 11CEPR00907

Atty Erlach, Mara M. (for Terese Ann Cenci McGee, daughter – Petitioner)

Atty Sanoian, Joanne (for Richard Cenci, son – Objector/Competing Petitioner)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under the Independent Administration of Estates Act (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 8/31/11			TERESE ANN CENCI MCGEE, daughter and first named	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			executor without bond, is Petitioner.	NOTE: Page 14A is Objector
				Richard Cenci's competing <i>Petition</i>
Can	h from 111111	_	Will dated – 12/6/07; and <i>Codicils dated 8/20/10, 8/26/10 and</i>	for Probate.
Con	t. from 111411 Aff.Sub.Wit.		<u>9/6/10</u>	1. Need affidavit of publication, pursuant to Probate Code 8124.
	All.Sub.Wit.	S /		2. Need Statement of Duties and
		n n	Full IAEA – need	Liabilities of Personal
	Verified	۲	Residence – Fresno Publication- <i>need</i>	Representative (Judicial Council
	Inventory		Publication- need	Form DE-121), and Confidential Supplement to Duties and
	PTC		Estimated value of estate	Liabilities, containing the birth
	Not.Cred.	H	Personal property \$167,000.00	date and driver's license number
			Annual income .0	of the personal representative, pursuant to Probate Code 8404
٧	Notice of Hrg		Real property .0	and Local Rule 7.10.1.
٧	Aff.Mail	W	Total \$167,000.00	
		/	10111 \$107,000.00	
	Aff.Pub.	v		
	Sp.Ntc.	Х	PROBATE REFEREE: RICK SMITH	
	Pers.Serv.			
			Objection of Richard G. Cenci to Petition, filed 10/14/11,	
	Conf. Screen		states:	
	Letters	Х	• Petitioner McGee is not competent to act as Executor pursuant	
	Duties/Supp	Х	to PrC 8402(a)(3) because she is currently a defendant in an action for breach of fiduciary duty and for financial abuse of an	
	Objections		elder, regarding the same subject matter at issue here (see	
	Video Receipt		Fresno Superior Court Case No. 10CEPR00244);	
	CI Report		• During her 7/13/10 deposition, Petition McGee testified to the	
	9202		following (pertinent portions of deposition attached to	
٧	Order		Objection):As trustee of Decedent's Survivor's Trust, Petitioner took out	
	Aff. Posting		margin loans of \$321,000.00 from a Bypass Trust without	Reviewed by: NRN
	Status Rpt		Decedent's knowledge and without authority to use the Bypass	Reviewed on: 1/13/12
	UCCJEA		funds;	Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		SEE ATTACHED DACE	File 10B – Cenci
			SEE ATTACHED PAGE	
				I

10B

- Petitioner gave an unknown amount of Decedent's money to Petitioner's brother, Herman Cenci, that giving large sums of money to Herman was not prudent, and that neither Richard Cenci nor Jonalyn Cenci has ever misappropriated Decedent's money;
- Petitioner handled the sale of real property on Adoline Ave (belonging to Bypass Trust), yet does not know where the sale proceeds went; nor did she know which funds were left in a Charles Schwab account upon which she was writing checks and loaning out money to Herman Cenci;
 - o Petitioner admitted to breaching her trustee duties, and her own admissions show she is not competent and is unfit to act as personal representative of Decedent's estate;
- The 3 handwritten codicils Petitioner seeks to admit do not meet the statutory requirements of codicils as they are the product of fraud or undue influence, or duress.
- Objector requests Petitioner be denied Letters Testamentary and that the codicils not be admitted to probate.

Supplemental Objection of Richard G. Cenci, filed 11/9/11, states:

- Terese is not competent or qualified to act as executor because she has ignored previous court orders and has mislead the court in her filed income and expense declaration in Fresno Superior Court Case No. 617025-2: per Supplemental Declaration in Support of Respondent's Application to Terminate Spousal Support, filed by Steven McGee on 4/27/07, Terese ignored 2 previous court orders that she become self-supporting and did not accurately report her assets or receipt of funds in addition to spousal and child support; the Declaration speaks to Terese's lack of veracity and her history of disrespecting court proceedings(a Request for Judicial Notice of McGee's Declaration was filed in this case on 11/7/11);
- Also, on 8/16/10, this Court, in the related Trust litigation matter (Case No. 10CEPR000244), ordered Terese to provide a forensic accounting of both Trusts to Esther Cenci's counsel; Terese never provided the forensic accounting;
- Furthermore, the 3 handwritten codicils should not be admitted to probate because the disposition provisions of the 3 documents lack specific language referencing power of appointment as required by the Cenci Family Trust of 1992 which specifically states that under the terms of the Survivor's Trust, "on the death of the surviving settlor, the trustee shall distribute the remainder, if any, of the Survivor's Trust to such one or more persons or entities...on such terms or conditions...as the surviving settlor shall appoint by a valid instrument or lifetime document that was executed after the Deceased Settlor's death and specifically refers to this power of appointment." Decedent's 2007 Will confirms this requirement and states she (Decedent) is exercising her power of appointment.
- Because the 3 codicils do not in any way reference Decedent's power of appointment, they cannot be admitted to change the 2007 Will's disposition provisions.

Objector Richard Cenci requests the Court:

- 1. Deny Terese's Petition for Letters Testamentary; and
- 2. Not admit the 3 codicils to probate.

10C Esther C. Cenci (Estate) Case No. 11CEPR00907

Atty Erlach, Mara M. (for Terese Ann Cenci McGee, daughter – Petitioner)

Atty Sanoian, Joanne (for Richard Cenci, son – Objector)

Atty Sullivan, Robert (for Bruce Bickel)

Petition for Interpretation of Holographic Instruments [Prob. C. §17200(b)(1)]

DOD: 8/31/11			TERESE ANN CENCI MCGEE, daughter and first named	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COM
			executor without bond, is Petitioner.	MENTS:
Cont. from			Petitioner seeks a ruling from the Court that three holographic instruments are codicils to the <u>12/6/07</u> Will of Decedent Esther Cenci ("Will").	Note: This same Petition has been filed in the related Trust proceeding
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			(10CEPR00244).
√	Verified		Petitioner states:	4 33 30 3
	Inventory		5 Father C Canai ("Decedant") assessed the Will subjet massided	1. Need Order.
	PTC		5. Esther C. Cenci ("Decedent") executed the Will, which provided for specific bequests and poured the residue of her estate over	
	Not.Cred.		into the Survivor's Trust;	
V	Notice of		6. Recently, it was discovered that Decedent executed at least 3	
'	Hrg		holographic instruments in 2010 which could be testamentary in	
V	Aff.Mail	W	nature; 7. These holographic documents are dated 8/20/10, 8/26/10, and	
	Aff.Pub.		9/6/10, and all have been lodged with the Court.	
	Sp.Ntc.		8. Petitioner believes these documents are codicils to the Will, but	
	Pers.Serv.		requests clarification from this Court as to the effect of the	
	Conf. Screen		holographic instruments.	
	Letters		Objection of Richard Cenci, filed 12/6/11, states:	
	Duties/Supp		A. The interpretation of holographic instruments is moot because the	
٧	Objections		probate proceeding is no longer necessary. Specifically:	
Ė	Video		• There are currently no estate assets, as Decedent transferred the 1932 Packard automobile to the Survivor's Trust prior to her	
	Receipt		death.	
	CI Report		The Trustee of the Survivor's Trust (Bruce Bickel) has told the	
	9202		Court and Objector's attorney that he considers the furniture and	
٧	Order		furnishings (of Decedent's residence) to be assets of the	
	Aff. Posting		Survivor's Trust; as such, there is nothing to probate under the Will.	Reviewed by: NRN
	Status Rpt		Furthermore, when previously a personal representative of	Reviewed on: 1/18/12
	UCCJEA		Decedent's estate was necessary because Decedent was named	Updates:
	Citation		as a respondent in related litigation involving the Family Trust,	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		Decedent was ultimately dismissed due to her death and therefore a probate proceeding is no longer necessary.	File 10C - Cenci
			uncrerore a produce proceeding is no longer necessary.	
			SEE ATTACHED PAGE	
<u> </u>				

10C

CONT'D:

- B. The holographic instruments are not codicils to the Will. Specifically:
 - The 8/20/10 and 8/26/10 documents appear to be letters to Decedent's attorney with no real intent specifically shown to make the letters "codicils" to the Will.
 - The only dispositive provisions concern the distribution of the Packard automobile and household furniture, which are assets no part of the estate.
 - There are no nominations in the documents, and the majority of their contents concern Decedent's Survivor's Trust and family issues.
 - The 9/6/10 document has no dispositive provisions, nor does it nominate any personal representatives, and there is nothing in the document indicating it is a "codicil," but merely a letter of no consequence addressed to Decedent's attorney.
 - Even if the Court were to find that the 8/20/10 and 8/26/10 documents were somehow meant to be "codicils," there are no assets to distribute, such that any interpretation would be fruitless.
- C. The holographic instruments cannot change the terms of the Survivor's Trust:
 - If the creating instrument requires a specific reference to the power, the power may be exercised only by such specific reference to the power. *Estate of Muriel Eddy* (1982) Cal. App. 3d 292.
 - PrC §632 states that if the creating instrument expressly directs that a power of appointment be exercised by an instrument that makes specific reference to the power or instrument that created the power, the power can be exercised only by an instrument containing the required reference.
 - Here, the Survivor's Trust specifically requires that that the instrument, in this case, the holographic documents, has to refer to the power of appointment in those documents, which they do not.
 - The Cenci Family Trust specifically states that under the terms of the Survivor's Trust, on surviving settlor's death, the trustee shall distribute the remainder of the survivor's trust... to such one or more persons or entities...on such terms or conditions...as the surviving settlor shall appoint by a valid instrument or lifetime document that was executed after deceased settlor's death and specifically refers to this power of appointment. (Article Seventh, Section 7 of the Trust)
 - Unlike the 3 handwritten documents at issue here, Decedent's Will confirms this absolute requirement as it references Article Seventh, Section 7 of the Family Trust and specifically states that she (Decedent) is exercising her power of appointment.
- D. The holographic instruments do not meet the requirements of Codicil:
 - Objector incorporates the Objection to the Petition for Probate of Will and Letters Testamentary filed by Objector on 10/14/11.
 - The holographic instruments do not meet the statutory requirements of codicils under applicable probate code sections, as they are the product of fraud, or undue influence, or duress.
 - There is a trial in this case scheduled for 1/10/12. The issues herein may be moot after the trial.

Objector prays for an Order that 1) the three handwritten documents are not codicils and 2) that the three handwritten documents do not affect the dispositive provisions of the Survivor's Trust.

Edward Dorothy Salazar Living Trust dated 10/11/10 Case No. 11CEPR01049 Winter, Gary L. (for Rudolfo Diego Salazar – Trustee – Petitioner)

Petition for Order Confirming Trust Assets; Petition for Instructions [Prob. C. §850 (a)(3); Prob. C. §17200, et seq.]

Edward Dorothy Salazar		zar	RUDOLFO DIEGO SALAZAR, acting Trustee of the Edward Dorothy	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD: 6-23-11			Salazar Living Trust dated 10-11-10 (the "2010 Trust"), is Petitioner.	
			Batition on states Cattley was a resident of Community County but	1. In addition to the assets
			Petitioner states Settlor was a resident of Sacramento County, but the principal place of administration of the trust is Fresno County.	specifically referenced in "Annex A" of the 2010 Trust,
			Petitioner is acting trustee of the 2010 Trust, and is also the named	Petitioner requests the order
			trustee under a prior trust of Settlor (the "1996 Trust") and named	include unspecified assets
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		executor of Settlor's 1996 pour-over will (the "1996 Will").	"inadvertently omitted" or
~	Verified		executor of section sizes pour over thin (the issue thin).	"now known or unknown
Ě			Petitioner states the 1996 Trust and 1996 Will were prepared by an	and/or acquired after the date
-	Inventory		attorney, but that Settlor used an estate planning website to create	of this Petition."
	PTC		the 2010 Trust. The 2010 Trust does not specifically revoke the	
	Not.Cred.		1996 Trust; however, Petitioner is informed and believes it was	The Court cannot confirm
~	Notice of Hrg		Settlor's intent at the time he created the 2010 Trust that any	unspecified assets not included in the trust schedule. Such
>	Aff.Mail	W	property which was in his possession during his lifetime and after	assets may be subject to
	Aff.Pub.		his death be transferred into the 2010 Trust and that the 1996 Trust be revoked. Petitioner states the 1996 Trust includes certain	probate estate administration.
	Sp.Ntc.		heirs that predeceased the Settlor, and additional heirs.	
	Pers.Serv.		nens that predeceased the Settlor, and additional nens.	Examiner notes that if the
	Conf. Screen		Petitioner states that shortly before his death, Settlor was in the	Court orders that the 1996
	Letters		process of transferring all of his assets into the 2010 Trust;	documents are revoked as
	Duties/Supp		however, Petitioner believes Settlor was personally ignorant of the	requested, and there are assets later discovered that cannot be
	Objections		fact that he needed to have new deeds and account designations	confirmed to the 2010 Trust per
	Video Receipt		created to properly transfer the property to his 2010 Trust, and	above, such assets may be
	CI Report		that Settlor thought that by signing the Transfer and Assignment	subject to <u>intestate</u> probate
	9202		document, he had properly transferred all of his property into the 2010 Trust.	estate administration.
_	Order		2010 Hust.	
ľ	Order		Petitioner prays for an Order that:	Examiner notes alternatively that if the 1996 documents are
			1. Notice of this Petition was given as prescribed by law;	not revoked by the Court, it
			2. The 1996 Trust and 1996 Will are revoked and of no effect;	appears that such potential
			3. The 2010 Trust is the sole valid dispositive instrument of	estate could be administered
			Edward Dorothy Salazar;	as testate, but would have a slightly different heir structure
			4. The 2010 Trust is the operative trust for administration of the	than the 2010 Trust.
			estate of Edward Dorothy Salazar;	than the 2010 must.
			5. Certain real property, bank accounts and personal property are	
			subject to the management and control of Petitioner as trustee	
	Aff. Posting		of the 2010 Trust;	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		6. Petitioner, as trustee of the 2010 Trust, shall distribute all	Reviewed on: 1-12-12
	UCCJEA		assets held by the 2010 Trust as Settlor intended in the 2010	Updates:
	Citation		Trust; and	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		7. Any and all assets inadvertently omitted from Annex A of the	File 11 - Salazar
			2010 Trust or the Transfer and Assignment, now known or	
			unknown and/or acquired after the date of this Petition, are	
			deemed to be included in the 2010 Trust and distributed	
			according to its terms.	
				11

Matlak, Steven M. (for Petitioner Paul Mon Pere)

Petition for Order Approving Modification of Trust Terms Under Probate Code 15404(b), 15409 and 17200(b)(13)

			PAUL MON PERE, beneficiary, is petitioner.	NEEDS/DDODLENAS/CONANAENTS.
			FAUL WON FERE, beneficiary, is pentioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Petitioner states the Trust was established by	
\vdash			Petitioner's father Gerald V. Mon Pere on 1/14/1992.	1. Need Order
Cor	nt. from		The Irrevocable Trust is a life insurance trust which	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		will be funded by a life insurance policy on the life of	
/	Verified		the Settlor upon the Settlor's death.	
-			The Irrevocable Trust provides that upon the	
	Inventory		termination of the Trust, the Trustee shall divide into	
	PTC		as many equal shares as there are Settlor's living	
	Not.Cred.		children and deceased children leaving issue.	
✓	Notice of		Petitioner seeks an order authorizing the modification	
	Hrg Aff.Mail	W/	of the Irrevocable Trust so that any share passing to	
√		VV/	the Settlor's son, Philip Mon Pere will be held in a	
	Aff.Pub.		third party special needs trust.	
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.		Petitioner states Philip suffers from severe depression	
	Conf. Screen		and bipolar disorder and as a result receives SSI and	
	Letters		Medi-Cal.	
	Duties/Supp		Upon the Settlor's death Philip would lose his SSI	
	Objections		and Medi-Cal benefits unless the assets were directed	
	Video		into a third party special needs trust (SNT). A third	
	Receipt		party SNT is an SNT established with assets of	
	CI Report		someone other than the government benefits recipient	
	9202		and is subject to different requirements from a first	
	Order	Χ	party SNT, which is an SNT funded with the	
	Aff. Posting		recipient's own money.	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		Petitioner states the Settlor intended that the	Reviewed on: 1/13/12
	UCCJEA		Irrevocable Trust preserve assets for Philip's benefit	Updates:
	Citation		throughout his life. When the Trust was created	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		Philip did not have a disability, and the Settlor could	File 12 – Mon Pere
			not have predicted the need for an SNT. Had Philip's	
			disability been a present at the time of creating the	
			Irrevocable Trust, the Settlor could have learned that	
			the Irrevocable Trust would have jeopardized Philip's benefits.	
			UCHCIIIS.	
			Please see additional page	
			1 0	

12 Gerald V Mon Pere Irrevocable Trust, 1-14-92 Case No. 11CEPR01050

Petitioner wishes to modify the distribution portion of the Irrevocable Trust so that it will not disqualify Philip form his needs-based public benefits.

Petitioner requests modification of the Trust after the sentence in Paragraph C of ARTICLE THIRD which reads, "Each share so apportioned shall be distributed outright and free of Trust to any child of the Settlor who is then living," the requested modification would insert the following sentence: "PROVIDED, HOWEVER, if PHILIP MON PERE ("PHILIP") is then living, the trustee shall allocate PHILIP'S share to a special needs trust (hereinafter, "Special Needs Trust") for the benefit of PHILIP, which Special Needs Trust shall be retained, administered and distributed as provided in paragraph E. of this ARTICLE THIRD." The requested modification would also add a new paragraph E to ARTICLE THIRD, detailing the terms of the Special Needs Trust. [The terms of the trust have been provided in the petition.]

Wherefore, Petitioner prays for and Order of this Court:

- 1. Finding that all notices have been given according to law;
- 2. Approving the modification of the Irrevocable Trust as requested in the Petition.

Declaration of Settlor Gerald V. Mon Pere in Support of Petition is attached to the Petition.

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters of Administration with Will Annexed; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 09/27/07		JIMMIE DALE REIS, son,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
Coi	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit. X Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. X Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen	is Petitioner, and requests appointment as Administrator with Will Annexed without bond. Full IAEA – NEED Will dated 12/10/77 Residence: Laton Publication: NEED	 NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Need Affidavit of Publication. Will is not self-proving. Need Affidavit of Subscribing Witness. Item 8 of the Petition does not state each party's relationship to the decedent. The Petition states that the decedent had a deceased spouse, Henry F. Reis, Sr., however Mr. Reis' name is listed in item 8 of the Petition with an address in Laton. Need clarification. If the decedent's spouse, Henry Reis, Sr. is deceased, his name and date of death should be listed in item 8 of the Petition pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1D. Petitioner is requesting to be appointed as Administrator with Will annexed without bond, stating that the Will waives bond. However, the Will only waives the requirement of bond to the individuals named as Executor or alternate Executors in the Will. Need waivers of bond from 	
✓ ✓	Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	Estimated value of the Estate: \$0.00	Administrator with Will annexed without bond, stating that the Will waives bond. However, the Will only waives the requirement of bond to the individuals named as Executor or alternate	
✓	Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order	= = =	\$0.00. Pursuant to Probate Code § 8002(a)(4) the Petition is to state the character and estimated value of the property in the estate. Need clarification.	
	Aff. Posting]	Reviewed by: JF	
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 01/12/12	
	UCCJEA		Updates:	
	Citation	_	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		File 13 - Reis	
· ·	·		13	

14 Gary Norris aka Gary Phillip Norris aka Gary P. Norris (Estate)

Case No. 11CEPR01081

Atty Bagdasarian, Gary G. (for Mace Norris – son/Petitioner)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DO	D: 10/09/11		MACE NORRIS, son and named	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Executor without bond, is Petitioner.	
			Encouror without cond, is 1 current.	
			Full IAEA – OK	
Coi	nt. from			
√	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Will dated 08/26/11	
√	Verified		Will dated 00/20/11	
	Inventory		Residence: Fresno	
	PTC		Publication: The Business Journal	
	Not.Cred.		2 denoused in 2 denous commun	
✓	Notice of		Estimated Value of the Estate:	
	Hrg		Personal property - \$ 20,000.00	
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	Real property - 423,000.00	
✓	Aff.Pub.		Total - \$443,000.00	
	Sp.Ntc.		ψ1. 0 ,000.00	
	Pers.Serv.		Probate Referee: RICK SMITH	
	Conf. Screen		1 1 0 0 000 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
✓	Letters			
✓	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting	<u> </u>		Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 01/13/12
	UCCJEA			Updates: 01/18/12
	Citation			Recommendation: SUBMITTED
	FTB Notice			File 14 - Norris

Fillion, Paul A. (pro per Executor)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of First Account or Petition for Final Distribution (Prob. C. §12200, et seq.)

DOD: 8/31/2010 PAUL A. FILLION was appointed		PAUL A. FILLION was appointed as	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Executor of the Estate with full IAEA Authority and without bond on 11/15/10.	1. Need current status report, first account or petition for final distribution.
Coi	nt. from	=	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Corrected I & A filed on 9/23/11	
	Verified	showing the estate value as	Note: Paul A. Fillion was formerly
	Inventory	\$125,000.00	represented by Attorney John Barrus.
	PTC		Mr. Barrus filed a substitution of attorney
	Not.Cred.		on 9/22/11.
	Notice of	First account or petition for final	
	Hrg	distribution was due 11/15/11.	
	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.	This status hearing was set for the	
	Sp.Ntc.	filing of the first account or petition	
	Pers.Serv.	for final distribution.	
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters	A copy of the Notice of Status	
	Duties/Supp	Hearing was mailed to Paul A. Fillion	
	Objections	on 9/26/11.	
	Video		
	Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
<u> </u>	Order	_	
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt	_	Reviewed on: 1/12/12
	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 15 - Fillion

Shreffler, Irene L. (pro per Guardian/maternal grandmother)

Status Hearing Re: Proof of Guardianshp in Arizona and Termination of the California Guardianship

Age: 15 years	IDENE CUDEFFI FD maternal	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOB: 1/18/1997	IRENE SHREFFLER, maternal	THE EST I NOBELING COMMENTS.
200. 2/20/2001	grandmother/guardian, petitioned the	
	court to fix the residence outside of	
	California to Kingman, Arizona.	1. Need status of guardianship
Cont. from	=	proceedings in Arizona.
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Irene Shreffler was appointed	
	guardian of the person on 9/20/06.	
Verified		
Inventory	Father: GEORGE PLESH	
PTC		
Not.Cred.	Mother: DENISE LEMEN	
Notice of		
Hrg	On 7/25/11 the Court granted the	
Aff.Mail	petition to move the minor to Arizona	
Aff.Pub.	ordered that a guardianship or its	
Sp.Ntc.	equivalent would be commenced in	
Pers.Serv.	Arizona within four months of the	
Conf. Screen	order.	
Letters	order.	
Duties/Supp	7	
Objections		
Video	7	
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202	7	
Order	7	
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 1/12/12
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 16 - Shreffler

17	Cesar Gonzalez & Marco Hernandez (GUARD/P)	Case No.	09CEPR00574
Atty	Ministro, Concepcion Hernandez (Pro Per Petitioner, mother)		
Atty	Sanchez, Isidoro (pro per/non-relative – current guardian)		
Atty	Sanchez, Elizabeth (pro per/non-relative – current guardian)		
-	_ 7.		

7.0	Petition for Termination of Guardianship				
Cesar Gonzalez			CONCEPCION HERNANDEZ MINISTRO,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
_	Age: 8 years DOB: 11/22/2003		Mother, is Petitioner.		
Ma Age	Marco Hernandez Age: 10 years DOB: 11/30/01		Isidoro Sanchez and Elizabeth Sanchez, non-relative family friends, are the current guardians. They were appointed guardians of the minor Cesar Gonzalez on	Continued from 11/14/11. Minute Order states: Petition is approved as to Marco Hernandez only. The matter is continued as to Cesar Gonzalez only. Per Petitioner, Marco is in	
			9/28/09, and were appointed guardians of the minor	Pennsylvania. The court has not	
	Cont. from 101711, 111411		Marco Hernandez on 3/22/10. Cesar and Marco are brothers.	received a report from Los Angeles, CA re: Cesar.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Guardians were sent notice by mail on 10/5/2011.		
√	Verified		Father: OCTAVIO LAZARO GONZALEZ		
	Inventory		ARANA; sent notice by mail 10/5/2011		
	PTC				
	Not.Cred.		Paternal grandfather: Alvaro Gonzalez Fentunez,		
√	Notice of Hrg		deceased Paternal grandmother: Maria Ocotlan Arana Luna; sent notice by mail 10/5/2011;		
✓	Aff.Mail	w/ o	Maternal grandfather: Alvaro Hernandez Garcia; sent notice by mail 10/5/2011;		
	Aff.Pub.		Maternal grandmother: Maria Gonzalez Ministro; <i>sent</i>		
	Sp.Ntc.		notice by mail 10/5/2011;		
	Pers.Serv.		Petition states the guardianship should be terminated		
	Conf. Screen		in order to reunite the family once again.		
	Letters				
	Duties/Supp		Court Investigator Julie Negrete's report, filed		
	Objections		<u>10/17/11</u>		
	Video				
	Receipt		L.A. County Court Investigator Robert Nigro's		
	CI Report	Χ	<u>report, filed 11/9/11.</u>		
	9202		T P N		
٧	Order		Julie Negrete's report, filed 11/10/11.		
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: NRN	
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/13/12	
	UCCJEA		**NEED L.A. County Court Investigator	Updates:	
	Citation		Report re: Cesar	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice			File 17 – Gonzalez & Hernandez	

18 Aaliyah Morales, Talaya Young, Edward Young, Jr. & Kaziyah Lewis (GUARD/P) Case No. 10CEPR00525

Atty Gutierrez, Mary Ann (pro per Petitioner/family friend)
Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Aaliyah ago:	0 voors	THERE IS NO TEMPORARY.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:		
Aaliyah age: 9 years DOB: 1/23/2003		No Temporary was requested.	NEEDS/PROBLEIVIS/COIVIIVIENTS.		
		No Temporary was requested.	Note: Declaration filed by Petitioner on		
Talaya age: 5 years		MARY ANN GUTIERREZ, family	11/29/11 states mother has decided to keep		
DOB: 6/9/20		friend, is petitioner.	Talaya and Edward with her. It is unclear if		
Edward age:	•	mend, is petitioner.	the Petitioner is intending to amend her		
DOB: 2/13/2		Aaliyah's father: CIRO MORALES -	petition to only include minors Aaliyah and		
Kaziyah age:	•	Declaration of due diligence filed on	Kaziyah. File includes two orders one for all		
DOB: 8/15/	10	11/28/11.	four minors and one for Aaliyah and Kaziyah		
Cont. from		Talaya and Edward's father: EDWARD	only.		
Aff.Sub.	.Wit.	YOUNG	1 N 1 N C T .		
✓ Verified	1	Kaziyah's father: UNKNOWN –	1. Need Notice of Hearing.		
<u> </u>		Declaration of due diligence filed on	2. Need proof of personal service of the <i>Notice of Hearing</i> along with a copy of the		
Invento	ory	11/14/11.	Petitioner or Consent and Waiver of Notice		
PTC		11/1/11	or Declaration of Due Diligence on:		
Not.Cre	ed.	Mother: FELICIA YOLANDA LUNA	a. Ciro Morales (Aaliyah's father) –		
Notice o	of X	- Consents and waives notice.	unless the court dispenses with notice.		
Hrg		Conseins and warres nones.	(Note: Petition states father is		
Aff.Mai	I X	Aaliyah's paternal grandparents –	incarcerated in Arizona for life).		
Aff.Pub		unknown	b. Edward Young (Talaya and Edward's		
	•	Talaya and Edwards' paternal	father) c. Unknown father of Kaziyah –unless		
Sp.Ntc.		grandparents – unknown	the court dispenses with notice.		
Pers.Sei		Kaziyah's paternal grandparents –	3. Need proof of service of the <i>Notice of</i>		
✓ Conf. So	creen	unknown – Declaration of due diligence	Hearing along with a copy of the Petitioner		
/ Letters		filed on 11/28/11.	or Consent and Waiver of Notice or		
Letters		Maternal grandfather : Deceased	Declaration of Due Diligence on:		
✓ Duties/	Supp	Maternal grandmother: Josie Alvarez –	a. Aaliyah's paternal grandparents		
Objection	ons	consents and waives notice.	b. Talaya and Edward's paternal		
-	UIIS		grandparents		
Video		Petitioner states custodial parent is	c. Kaziyah's paternal grandparents – unless the court dispenses with notice.		
Receipt		unable to provide housing for the	uniess the court dispenses with notice.		
✓ CI Repo	ort	children.			
9202					
√ Order		DSS Social Worker, Anita Ruiz's			
<u> </u>		Report filed 1/19/12.			
Aff. Pos			Reviewed by: KT		
Status F			Reviewed on: 1/12/12		
√ UCCJEA	·		Updates: 1/20/12		
Citation	1		Recommendation:		
FTB Not	tice		File 18 – Morales, Young & Lewis		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Joshua Ryan Steele (GUARD/PE) Case No. 11CEPR01037
Rummelt-Sandrik, Stacie (pro per Petitioner/maternal aunt)
Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 2 years TE		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 1/23/2012	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
_	B: 11/11/09	TEMI ORAKI EZI IKES 1/25/2012	THE ESSAT ROSEEWISA COMMITTEE TO
	2,,	STACIE RUMMELT-SANDRIK, maternal aunt, is Petitioner.	1. Petition also request that petitioner be appointed as guardian of the estate.
		= Estimated value of the Estate: Unknown	The estimated value of the estate is unknown. Petition does not state why
Cor	nt. from		guardianship of the estate is necessary.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Father: JEREMY STEELE – consents and	Petitioner does not need a guardianship of the estate to be able to
✓	Verified	waives notice.	access public benefits.
	Inventory	Mother: AMANDA STEELE – consents	2 Nood Notice of Heaving
	PTC	and waives notice.	2. Need Notice of Hearing.
	Not.Cred.		3. Need proof of service of the <i>Notice of</i>
	Notice of	Paternal grandfather: Edward Steele	Hearing or consent and waiver of
	Hrg	Paternal grandmother: Shannon Steele–	notice or declaration of due diligence
	Aff.Mail	consents and waives notice.	on: a. Edward Steele (paternal
	Aff.Pub.	Maternal grandfather: John Emberton–	grandfather)
	Sp.Ntc.	consents and waives notice.	9 ,
	Pers.Serv.	Maternal grandmother: Cindy Samath— consents and waives notice.	
✓	Conf. Screen	= consens una vaives nouce.	
✓	Letters	Petitioner states that Joshua has special needs and his parents are not able to care for	
✓	Duties/Supp	him and also care for their other children.	
	Objections	Petitioner states that Joshua has lived with her since April 2011 and that his parents and	
	Video	grandparents agree with the arrangement.	
	Receipt	Petitioner states that she intends to get	
✓	CI Report	Joshua all available assistance that will allow	
	9202	him to develop to the highest mental and	
✓	Order	physical level possible.	
	Aff. Posting	Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel's	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt	Report filed on 1/17/12.	Reviewed on: 1/13/12
✓	UCCJEA		Updates: 1/20/12
	Citation		Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 19 – Steele
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		40

Hinton, Sandra (pro per – maternal grandmother/Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

	Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)					
Age: 7 months			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 01/23/12	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:		
DOB: 06/08/11						
			SANDRA HINTON, maternal grandmother,		Need <i>Notice of Hearing.</i>	
			is Petitioner.	2.	• •	
					least 15 days before the hearing of	
Cor	nt. from		Father: JOSE RODRIQUEZ – court		Notice of Hearing with a copy of the	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		dispensed with notice on 12/05/11		Petition for Guardianship <u>or</u> Consent and Waiver of Notice <u>or</u>	
✓			M. A. DECIDER HINEON WALLS		Declaration of Due Diligence for:	
Ě	Verified		Mother: DESIREE HINTON-WALLS		- Desiree Hinton-Walls (mother)	
	Inventory		Paternal grandparents: UNKNOWN	3.	Need proof of service by mail at	
	PTC		Paternal grandparents. UNKNOWN		least 15 days before the hearing of	
	Not.Cred.		Maternal grandfather: ALVIN WALLS		Notice of Hearing with a copy of the	
	Notice of	Х	Waternar grandramer. ALVIV WALLS		Petition for Guardianship <u>or</u>	
	Hrg		Petitioner states that Zyla's mother is		Consent and Waiver of Notice <u>or</u>	
	Aff.Mail	Х	currently an inpatient at a psychiatric		Declaration of Due Diligence for:	
	Aff.Pub.		hospital and has been diagnosed with Post-		- Paternal grandparents (unknown)	
	Sp.Ntc.		Partum Psychosis. Mother has made a plan		- Alvin Walls (maternal grandfather)	
	Pers.Serv.	Х	for the Petitioner to care for Zyla until she			
✓	Conf. Screen		recovers from her illness. The father's			
✓	Letters		whereabouts are unknown.			
√	Duties/Supp					
	Objections		Court Investigator Charlotte Bien's			
	Video		report was filed 01/04/12.			
	Receipt					
✓	CI Report					
	9202					
√	Order					
	Aff. Posting			Review	ved by: JF	
	Status Rpt				ved on: 01/13/12	
V	UCCJEA			Update		
	Citation				mendation:	
	FTB Notice) - Rodriguez	
<u> </u>	1 1D Notice			1 11C 2C	, HOWINGACE	

Jasmyne Marie Minton, Rose Marie Minton, and Case No. 11CEPR01044 Ryan Allen Anthony Minton (GUARD/P)

Atty Kasparowitz, Lawrence (Pro Per – Maternal Grandfather – Petitioner)

Atty Millard, Maryl (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Jasmyne (6)		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 1-23-12	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOB: 7-9-05			
Rose Marie (5)		LAWRENCE A. KASPAROWITZ and MARYL MILLARD,	Petitioners' requests to waive Court fees were denied on 11-
DOB: 11-6-06		Maternal Grandparents, are Petitioners.	23-11. Filing fees of \$265.00 are
Ryan (3)			now due.
DOB: 1-31-08		Father: DAVID PATRICK MINTON	2. Nood Nation of Haaring
		- Nomination, Consent, and Waiver of Notice filed	2. Need Notice of Hearing.
		11-28-11	3. Need proof of service of Notice
Aff.Sub.Wit.		Mother: LARA MARIE MINTON	of Hearing with a copy of the
✓ Verified		- Nomination, Consent, and Waiver of Notice filed 11-22-11	Petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code
Inventory		11-22-11 	§1511 or consent and waiver of
PTC		Paternal Grandfather: Clyde Minton	notice on:
Not.Cred.		Paternal Grandmother: Sheila Minton	- Clyde Minton (Paternal
Notice of	Х	raternal Granamother. Sheha Winton	Grandfather) - Sheila Minton (Paternal
Hrg		Petitioners state the children need a relatively long	Grandmother)
Aff.Mail	Χ	term guardianship because the parents need time to	
Aff.Pub.		be in a position to provide a safe environment. The	
Sp.Ntc.		parents have had seven years of drug and alcohol	
N/A Pers.Serv.		abuse, domestic violence, inadequate care and	
Conf. Screen		supervision of the children. Petitioners state the	
✓ Letters		parents voluntarily placed the children with them in	
✓ Duties/Supp		July of 2011. The children have lived on their	
Objections		property most of their lives and have close	
Video		attachment to them. Jasmyne has lived with	
Receipt		Petitioners since a restraining order was placed with	
✓ CI Report		reference to an assault on Jasmyne in 2010.	
✓ Clearances		The temporary petition states the father was	
✓ Order		convicted in December 2010 of felony child abuse on	
Aff. Posting		Jasmyne (his second felony conviction). CPS placed	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt		all of the children with Petitioners in July and urged	Reviewed on: 1-12-12
✓ UCCJEA	<u> </u>	Petitioners to obtain guardianship. The temporary	Updates:
Citation		petition contains descriptions of the domestic abuse	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		by the father.	File 21 - Minton
		Count lavoration to Ann March (New York)	
		Court Investigator Jo Ann Morris filed a report on 1-	
		17-12.	21

22

Lehman, Lorilla Fonda (Pro Per Petitioner, great aunt)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person (Prob. C. 1820, 182 2680-2682)

Age: 27 years			NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
DOB: not stated					
			LORILLA FONDA LEHMAN, great aunt, is	Court Investigator Advised Rights on	
			Petitioner and requests appointment as Conservator of the Person with medical	1/15/2012.	
Cont. Cont.			conservator of the Person with medical consent powers.	Voting Dights Afforded Need Minute	
Cont. from		1	consent powers.	Voting Rights Affected – Need Minute Order.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Medical Capacity Declaration filed 1/17/2012	Oruci.	
✓	Verified		does not fully support request for medical	1. Need <i>Notice of Hearing</i> and proof of	
	Inventory		consent powers.	service by mail of the notice with a copy of	
	PTC			the <i>Petition</i> showing notice was mailed at	
	Not.Cred.		Voting Rights Affected.	least 15 days before the hearing pursuant	
	Notice of	Х	The state of the s	to Probate Code § 1822 for the following	
	Hrg		Petitioner states the proposed Conservatee is	persons:	
-	Aff.Mail	Х	developmentally disabled and diagnosed with severe mental retardation, cerebral palsy, and	Violet Morrow, mother;	
-		۸	has the mental capacity of two to three-year-	Brandon Morrow, brother; The state of	
<u> </u>	Aff.Pub.		old child due to her mother abusing drugs	Joyce Ferrero, grandmother.	
	Sp.Ntc.		during pregnancy. Petitioner states the	2. Need Citation for Conservatorship	
	Pers.Serv.	Χ	proposed Conservatee has been under	pursuant to Probate Code § 1823, and	
✓	Conf. Screen		Petitioner's care for ~14 years. Petitioner states	proof of personal service of the <i>Citation</i>	
	Aff. Posting		she only recently learned that the proposed	to the proposed Conservatee pursuant to	
—	Duties/Supp		Conservatee is currently five months pregnant,	Probate Code § 1824.	
	Objections		as she has hidden her pregnancy well, and she		
			is in desperate need of prenatal care, to which she has thus far refused to consent and has not	3. Need proof of mailed service of the	
√	Video		received. Petitioner states the proposed	Notice of Hearing with a copy of the	
	Receipt		Conservatee's mental status prevents her from	petition for the regional center pursuant	
✓	CI Report		understanding the repercussions of her refusal	to Probate Code § 1822(e).	
	9202		to consent to prenatal care for the baby, she is	4. Medical Capacity Declaration filed on	
✓	Order		unable to understand what the doctor is trying	4. Medical Capacity Declaration filed on 1/17/2012 is incomplete at Item (6)(D)	
			to explain about an exam for checking the	and (6)(E) (and at optional Item (F).)	
			viability of the baby, and the doctor has been	Further, Item 7(b) of the <i>Declaration</i> is	
			unable to perform any type of procedure due to	not initialed by the physician as	
			proposed Conservatee's refusal. Petitioner	required when the physician intends to	
			states the proposed Conservatee needs to have	indicate that the proposed Conservatee	
			someone to watch her daily for the remainder of her life.	lacks capacity to give informed consent	
			of her file.	to any form of medical treatment.	
			Court Investigator Samantha Henson's		
			Report was filed 1/17/2012.	B. C. all 150	
✓	Letters			Reviewed by: LEG	
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 1/12/12	
	UCCJEA			Updates: 1/17/12; 1/20/12	
	Citation	Χ		Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice			File 22 - Morrow	
				22	

Herold, Kim Marie (for Maria Alvarez-Garcia – Trustee – Petitioner)

First Report and Account of Trustee; Petition for Its Settlement and Allowance of Attorney's Fees; Petition for Reimbursement of Expenses

Age: 8		MARIA ALVAREZ-GARCIA, Maternal Grandmother,	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOB: 10-31-03		Guardian and Trustee of the Special Needs Trust, is	,
		Petitioner.	
			SEE PAGE 2
		Account period: 6-4-10 through 10-18-11	
Cor	nt. from 010912		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Accounting: \$208,497.05	
~	Verified	Beginning POH: \$100,000.00	
	Inventory	Ending POH: \$142,460.82 (cash only)	
	PTC	D : 1	
	Not.Cred.	Reimburse Conservator: \$1,897.09 for expenses	
	Notice of	associated with a vehicle (maintenance, registration,	
	Hrg	insurance) and expenses associated with travel to UCLA Medical Center.	
	Aff.Mail	Medical Center.	
	Aff.Pub.	Attorney: \$3,249.00 (11 hours @ \$295.00/hr)	
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.	Costs: \$555.00 (filing fees)	
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters	Petitioner requests that further reports and accounts be	
	Duties/Supp	waived since trust funds are invested in a blocked	
	Objections	account and annuity payments are made directly to that	
	Video	account. The trustee is not receiving any fees.	
	Receipt	Detitioner states that special people include incurance and	
	CI Report	Petitioner states that special needs include insurance and gasoline for vehicles and travel needs, and requests	
	9202	reimbursement for expenses associated with a vehicle	
~	Order	and with travel to UCLA Medical Center.	
	Aff. Posting	and with traver to be twice alean benter.	Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	Petitioner requests an order:	Reviewed on: 12-23-11
	UCCJEA	1. Settling, allowing and approving this first report and	Updates: 1-11-12
	Citation	account;	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	2. Ratifying and approving all acts and transactions of	File 23 - Carbajal
		the trustee as set forth in this account;	
		3. Authorizing payment of the attorney fees and costs;	
		4. Authorizing reimbursement to herself per above;	
		5. Waiving future accountings because funds are held in	
		a blocked account.	

Atty Herold, Kim Marie (for Maria Alvarez-Garcia – Trustee – Petitioner)

First Report and Account of Trustee; Petition for Its Settlement and Allowance of Attorney's Fees; Petition for Reimbursement of Expenses

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Continued):

1. The trust was originally funded with cash and with an annuity that pays approx. \$1,150.00 monthly. Schedule E Property on Hand lists only the cash held in the trust account. The annuity and its value should also be listed as an asset of the trust.

Declaration of Attorney Herold filed 1-10-12 states that the trust receives payments but is not the owner of the annuity. The owner is a third party administrator – Assigned Settlement Services Corporation.

Examiner notes that this is not a typical situation (that the trust does not own the annuity, and that the owner is a third party administrator). Why does a third party administrator own the annuity? Was there another transaction involving the annuity separate from the civil settlement from which it was received, such as a sale of the annuity to a settlement company?

The Court may require further clarification.

2. <u>It appears major purchases/disbursements were made and attorney fees paid without prior authorization from this Court</u>. The trust was brought under the jurisdiction of this Probate Court on 8-30-10. Petitioner refers to court orders dated 10-27-10 and 5-17-11 authorizing withdrawal for certain items; however, there is no documentation in this file regarding such authorization. Were these items authorized by another Court? If so, it appears that such petition and authorization may be inappropriate.

Need clarification regarding the following items with reference to the following notes:

- Vehicle \$48,493.07
- Car Audio \$950.00
- TV and Computer \$2,322.25
- Attorney Fees \$1,746.50 (Bolen Fransen LLP)
- Attorney Fees \$3,704.92 (Camp Rousseau Montgomery LLP)
- Attorney Costs \$1,363.00 (Bolen Fransen, LLP)
- Second Mortgage \$4,971.49
- Screen Door \$500.00
- Vacation \$1,985.00
- a. None of the tangible items purchased with special needs trust funds are listed as assets of the trust or property on hand. In addition to the annuity per #1 above, Schedule E should also include the vehicle, the electronics, and possibly an interest in the house (see below). The Court may require clarification, amendment of account and/or amendment of the various titles.

<u>Note</u>: Petitioner requests reimbursement for costs associated with the vehicle, such as maintenance, registration, insurance, etc., which implies that it is an asset of the trust, but it does not appear as property on hand. How is title held?

b. Need clarification regarding the use of special needs trust funds for payment of second mortgage on trustee's home.

The Ex Parte Petition for Withdrawal of Funds filed 10-24-11 begins to explain that the trust authorizes the purchase of a residence for the beneficiary, and because his grandmother and guardian now cares for him, she is not able to work and therefore, has not kept up payments on a second mortgage on her residence. However, the narrative is incomplete, the 10-24-11 petition did not request that amount, and payment does not appear to have been approved by this Probate Court.

Although the narrative points to the trust's authorization to purchase real property for the beneficiary as authority for this disbursement, Petitioner does not state whether the second mortgage was paid in full or simply brought current, how the payment qualifies as a special need for the beneficiary, or whether the trust/beneficiary received consideration, such as an interest in the real property, for the contribution toward the home.

- c. <u>Need clarification regarding the use of special needs trust funds for a screen door</u>. Was this purchase for the residence? Does the minor or the trust have an interest in or receive specific special needs benefit from this item? Examiner notes that, on its face, this appears to be an expenditure typical of home-ownership; however, because the trust does not own the home, the Court may require clarification.
- c. <u>Need clarification regarding the use of special needs trust funds of \$1,985.00 for a vacation</u>. Examiner does not see authorization from this Court for such expenditure.

SEE PAGE 3

23 Adam Carbajal Special Needs Trust Case No. 10CEPR00612

Herold, Kim Marie (for Maria Alvarez-Garcia – Trustee – Petitioner)

First Report and Account of Trustee; Petition for Its Settlement and Allowance of Attorney's Fees; Petition for Reimbursement of Expenses

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Continued):

#2 (Continued):

Atty

Declaration of Attorney Herold filed 1-10-12 states that the parties were unaware that a probate case had been opened for the trust because a website search returned only the civil case. Accordingly, requests for disbursements were made to and approved by the civil Court. Attached to the Declaration are the civil Court orders authorizing the distributions.

The Declaration states the vehicle (with audio system) is owned by Maria Alvarez-Garcia. The distribution was made personally to her because it is difficult to obtain car insurance if the owner is the trust, and also to avoid any liability to the trust in the event of an accident and/or lawsuit. The TV and computer are likewise owned by Maria Alvarez-Garcia and are located in the beneficiary's bedroom.

The Declaration states the residence is owned by Maria Alvarez-Garcia and her husband. The distributions for the second mortgage and screen door were to assist them in maintaining a residence for the beneficiary and to provide a screen door for the beneficiary's bedroom for fresh air when he is unable to be out of bed. The distribution to the second mortgage paid off that mortgage, which reduced the family's monthly burden of taking care of the beneficiary. The trust does not have an ownership interest in the residence.

The civil Court approved the \$1,985.00 for a vacation to Legoland. The trust specifically allows for distributions for a vacation and the details of the vacation were set forth on the ex parte petition.

Examiner notes that the Declaration attaches the civil Court orders, but does not provide copies of the petitions to the civil Court. The Court may require copies of the corresponding petitions for a more complete file.

Examiner notes that disbursements for items that the trust would not hold title to is not typical for special needs trusts. The Court may require further clarification.

- 3. Attorney's fee calculation of \$3,249.00 appears incorrect. Examiner calculates 11 hours @ \$295/hr = \$3,245.00 (a \$4.00 difference). Declaration states the correct amount is \$3,245.00.
- 4. Attorney's fees include 3.8 hours or \$1,121.00 in connection with two requests for withdrawal of funds. The Court may require clarification. Declaration states detailed descriptions were provided to the civil Court in connection with the ex parte petitions that were presented there.
- 5. Petitioner requests to waive future accountings; however, based on the above issues, the Court may require further clarification regarding the potential trust assets, and more specific language in the order regarding the disposition of such assets, before authorizing such waiver.

Also, if future accountings are waived, the Court may set status hearings annually or biennially for brief status reports on the trust.

Declaration states that since the only assets are blocked, waiver of account would reduce attorney fees and court costs payable by the trust.